STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2011-41703

Issue No.: 2026

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 29, 2011 DHS County: Wayne (82-35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 29, 2011, in Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) deductible case correctly?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 10, 2011, the Department completed a new MA budget.
- 2. On May 17, 2011, the Department sent a notice of case action indicating the Claimant now qualified for MA with a spend down amount of \$773.
- 3. On June 7, 2011, the Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL

400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Tables (RFT).

Michigan provides MA for eligible clients under two general classifications: Group1 and Group 2 MA. Claimant falls under Group 2 MA classification which consists of client's whose eligibility results from the State designating types of individuals as "medically needy." MCL 400.106; MSA 16.490 (16); MCL 400.107; MSA 16.490(17); and BEM, Item 105.

In order to qualify for Group 2 MA, a medically needy client must have income which is equal to or less than the protected basic maintenance level. Department policy sets forth the method for determining the protected basic maintenance level by considering: (1) the protected income level; (2) the amount diverted to dependents; (3) health insurance premiums; and (4) remedial services if determining eligibility for clients in adult-care homes. The protected income level is a set amount for non-medical needs such as shelter, food and incidental expenses. In all other cases other than those involving long-term care, the appropriate protected income level must be taken from RFT 240. BEM Item 545; 42 CFR 435.811 - 435.814. If the individual's income exceeds the protected income level, the excess amount must be used to pay medical expenses before Group 2 MA coverage can begin. This process is known as "spend-down." Policy requires the Department to count and budget all income received that is not specifically excluded. There are three main types of income: countable earned, countable unearned and excluded.

In the present case, Claimant's total income is \$1,201. Claimant's countable income is figured at \$1,181. After subtracting the total needs of \$408, the Claimant would be left with \$773 spend-down amount. The Department properly completed a budget reflecting all countable sources of income and determined correctly that Claimant would only qualify for MA under a spend-down case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department properly determined Claimant eligible for MA based upon a spend down.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby UPHELD.

/ Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 29, 2011

Date Mailed: August 30, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JWO/pf

