STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2011-41492

Issue No.: 2000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 24, 2011 Oakland County DHS (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Madison Heights, Michigan on Monday, October 24, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. The Clai mant was represented by Ja mes appeared on behalf of the D epartment of Human Services ("Department").

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and St ate Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefit programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claim ant submitted an application for medical assistance, retroactive for October, on November 24, 2010.
- 2. On February 11, 2011, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination.
- 4. On June 27, 2011, the Department rece ived the Claimant's written request for hearing.

- 5. On August 5, 2011, the State Hear ing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- 6. On August 17, 2011, a hearing was held resulting in the Augus t 25th Decision and Order finding the Claimant disabled c overing the peri ods at issue with this application.
- 7. During the hearing, the parties agreed that as a result of the August 25 Decision, there is no other issue that needs to be addressed.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the Code of Feder al Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Br idges Administra tive Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2).

In this case, the Department agreed that pursuant to the August 25 th favorable Decision and Order, coverage for the applicable period (retroactive month of October) would be activated provided all other non-medical issues were met. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's finding of not disabled is not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall, as agreed, initia te processing of t he November 24, 2010 application to include the applicable retroposition of accordance with Department policy.

2011-41492/CMM

3. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive wit h respect to the November 2010 application if otherwise eligible and qualified and in accordance with Department policy.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 24, 2011

Date Mailed: October 24, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or ion cannot be reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2011-41492/CMM

CMM/cl

