STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: 2011-41407 3000

August 1, 2011 Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on August 1, 2011 in Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of H uman Serv ices (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in its decision to include Claimant in her mother's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was a member of her mother's FAP group until on or about April, 2011.
- 2. Claimant moved out of her mother's house sometime in March of 2011.
- 3. Claimant applied for FAP separate from her mother's case.
- 4. The Depar tment did not issue FAP benef its to Claimant separately from her mother's case.

201141407/SB

- 5. At the hearing, the Department agreed to issue FAP benefits to Claiman t separate from her mother's case, e ffective April 30, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 6. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Dep artment provides an Administrative Hearing t o review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. E forts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Depar tment has agreed to issue F AP benefits to Claimant separate from her mother's ca se, effective April 30, 2011 a nd ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the D epartment and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department shall issue FAP bene fits to Claimant separate from her mother's case, effecti ve April 30, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible, in accordance with the settlement.
- 2. The Department shall issue supplements for any missed or increased benefits.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/3/11

Date Mailed: 8/3/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

