#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2011-41207

Issue No. 6000

Case No.
Hearing Date: August 25, 2011

Wayne County DHS (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thursday, August 25, 2011. The Claimant appeared and test ified.

Department of Human Services ("Department").

### ISSUE

Whether the Department properly processed the Claimant's Child Development & Care case?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a CDC recipient. (Exhibit 2)
- 2. The Department incorrectly closed the CDC case , and benefits were not authorized, for the period from October 10, 2010 thr ough Nov ember 6, 2010. (Exhibit 1)
- 3. On June 6, 2011, the Department rece ived the Claimant's written request for hearing.
- 4. On July 29, 2011, the Department r equested a CDC s upplement (Case Number for the period at issue.
- 5. The supplement has not issued to the Claimant.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Child Development and Car e program is established by T itles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Gr ant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by T itle 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400. 5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligib ility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2)

In this case, the Department incorre ctly clos ed the Cla imant's CDC c ase. Subsequently, the Department activated coverage and issued s upplements with the exception of the period fr om October 10, 2010 through November 6, 2010. The Department has attempted to remedy the sit uation to no avail. During the hearing, the parties agreed that the only action needed was for the activation of CDC coverage and for the supplementation of lost benefits for time period at issue. In light of the accord, there was no further issue that needed to be addressed.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's actions are not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall activate CDC cove rage for the period at issue and take appropriate action to supplement for lost benefits for the period from October 10, 2010 through November 6, 2010.

Colleen M. Mamuka

Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 26, 2011

Date Mailed: August 26, 2011

#### 2011-41207/CMM

<u>NOTICE:</u> Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## CMM/cl

