STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: 2011-41109 1038

August 10, 2011 Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on August 10, 2011 in De troit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in i ts decision to close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case and decreas e Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to failure to comply with work-related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP and FAP recipient.
- 2. On June 15, 2011, the D epartment issued to Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance with no dates of noncompliance listed in said Notice.
- 3. The Depar tment closed Claimant's FIP c ase and decreased Claimant's FAP benefits after a duage was held on June 24, 2011.
- 4. On June 29, 2011, Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FIP was e stablished pursuant to the Pers onal Resp onsibility a nd Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in th e Bridges Administrative Manu al (BAM), the Brid ges Eligibility Manual (B EM), and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

FAP was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the F AP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq*., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the BAM, BEM and PRM.

The Department requires clients to participate in employment and s elf-sufficiencyrelated activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. All Work Eligible Indiv iduals (WEIs) are required to participate in the development of a Family Self-Sufficiency Pla n (F SSP) u nless good c ause e xists. BEM 228. As а condition of eligibility, all WEIs must enga ge in employment and/ or self-sufficiencyrelated activities. BEM 233A. The WEI is consid ered non-compliant for failing o r refusing to appear and participate with the JET Program or othe r employment service provider. BEM 233A. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or s elf-sufficiency-related activities that are bas ed on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 2 33A. The first and second occ urrences of non-compliance result in a three-month FIP closure. BE M 233A. The third occurrence results in a twelve-month sanction. The goal of The FIP penalty policy is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance e (DHS-2444) <u>which mu st include the date(s)</u> of the noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A. (Emphasis added.) In addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

In the present case, the Department issued to Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance e dated June 15, 2011, which Noti ce did not contain the dates of alleged noncompliance, as required in BEM 233A. In addition, the Department presented a statement from the Medical Review Team that Claimant was able to attend JET. However, even if Claimant is able to attend JET generally, the Depart ment must prove that Claimant did not attend JET on the dates in the Notice of Noncompliance. Since there were no dates in the Notice of Noncompliance, the Department c ould not prove that it correctly closed

201141109/SB

Claimant's FIP case and decreased Claimant's FAP benefits due to noncompliance with work-related activities on the dates in the Notice.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in its decision to close Claimant's FIP case and decrease Claimant's FAP benefits, and it is therefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is RE VERSED. It is further O RDERED that the Department shall:

- 1.) Reinstate Claimant's FIP case from the date of it s closure on or about June 24, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 2.) Restore Claimant's F AP benefits from the date of decr ease, on or about J une 24, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 3.) Issue supplements for any missed or increased FIP or FAP payments.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>8/16/11</u>

Date Mailed: 8/16/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm