STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:

2011-41058 3002

August 24, 2011 Oakland County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on August 24, 2011 in Detroit, Michigan. Claimant's spouse, appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by account Assistance Payments Supervisor.

ISSUE

Was the D epartment correct in i ts calculation of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient in a household of two persons.
- 2. Claimant received \$1,058.00 in gross income per month which consisted of unearned income from group members.
- 3. Claimant had an obligation for shelter, utilities and heat.
- 4. The Department determined that Claimant was entitled to \$236.00 in FAP benefits per month.

201141058/SB

5. On March 21, 2011, Claimant requested a hearing, contesting the amount of the FAP grant.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (B EM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM,) which includes the Reference Tables (RFT).

Under 7 CFR 273.9, as amended, \$141.00 is deduct ed from the gross inc ome of FAP recipients in a household of two in det ermining FAP grants. Under 7 CFR 273.9 deductions for excess shelter are also made. BEM 554. Claimant did not claim to have incurred medical expenses, child support or dependent care expenses.

In the present case, according to the aforementioned policy on budgeting, Claimant had a net monthly income of \$378.00. This was obtained by subt racting the standard deduction of \$141.00 and the excess shelter amount of \$539.00 from the gross income of \$1,058.00. The amount of F AP benefits received for a group of two rec eiving net monthly income of \$378.00 is \$253.00. R FT 260. An additional \$17.00 was deducted from Claimant's benefit s based on a prev ious overiss uance that was not in dispute at this hearing. Claimant states that her family is hav ing trouble making ends meet, and while I sympathize with Claimant, Department policy does not allow for changes in thi s instance. Claimant also st ates that the Department i ssued more benefits previous ly when the household income was the same. Howev er, this hearing does not address previous benefits issuance.

Based on the above discussion, I find that the Department was correct in its calculation of Claimant's FAP benefits.

201141058/SB

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was correct in its calculation of Claim ant's FA P benefits, and it is therefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

C. Bute

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/29/11

Date Mailed: 8/29/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

CC: