STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

TILE MARTED OF

FINDINGS OF FACT

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

Docket No. 2011-40736 EDW
Appellant/
DECISION AND ORDER
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 et seq. upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.
After due notice, a hearing was held on appeared without representation. Her witness was LBSW, represented the Department's waiver agency. Her witness was SW, Care Manager.
PRELIMINARY MATTER
The hearing was continued from the Department and to allow the Appellant her witness.
<u>ISSUE</u>
Did the Department properly determine that the Appellant was no longer eligible for the MI Choice Waiver program?

1. The Appellant is a year-old Medicaid beneficiary. Appellant's Exhibit #1.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial

- 2. On the waiver agency completed an in-home assessment of the Appellant. Department's Exhibit A, pp. 9-15.
- 3. Based on the information provided at the in-home assessment the Appellant no longer met any service domain to maintain eligibility for continued enrollment in the MI Choice waiver program. Department's

Exhibit A, pp. 1-32.

- 4. The Assessment Report verified the Appellant's history with the waiver agency including her former eligibility under Door #1 and her receipt of 15-18 hours of light housekeeping through Right at Home care providers. Department's Exhibit A, pp. 8, 25, 30-32.
- 5. The Appellant was observed to have some loss of energy on recuperation from her hip replacement surgery but was observed as not utilizing her wheelchair. She demonstrated improved self-sufficiency for the date of last assessment. Department's Exhibit A, p. 30.
- 6. The Appellant was determined on assessment conducted by a registered nurse and a social worker to not be in medical need of Nursing Facility Level of Care service. Department's Exhibit A, p. 32.
- 7. The Appellant was advised of the Department's decision by "Advanced Notice" on Department's Exhibit A, p. 7.
- 8. The Appellant requested an administrative hearing before the Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health on Appellant's Exhibit #1.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

This Appellant is seeking eligibility for services through the Department's Home and Community Based Services for Elderly and Disabled (HCBS/ED). The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is funded through the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicare Services to the Michigan Department of Community Health (Department). Regional agencies, in this case the Waiver Agency function as the Department's administrative agency.

Waivers are intended to provide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services, or to adapt their programs to the special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to

-

¹ The Appellant suffers from low vision. Presumably her "Advanced Notice" contained a readable explanation of her hearing and appeal rights as she promptly appealed her termination and was prepared for hearing. However, a copy of those appeal rights was not shared by the Agency [as evidence] in its exhibit at hearing.

State plan requirements and permit a State to implement innovative programs or activities on a time-limited basis, and subject to specific safeguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G of part 441 of this chapter. 42 CFR 430.25(b)

Home and community based services are allowed, under 1915(c) (42 USC 1396n(c), to be classified as "medical assistance" under the State Plan when furnished to recipients who would otherwise need inpatient care that is furnished in a hospital, SNF, ICF or ICF/MR and is reimbursable under the State Plan. (42 CFR 430.25(b))

The Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) implemented functional/medical eligibility criteria for Medicaid nursing facilities, MI Choice, and PACE services. Federal regulations require that Medicaid pay for services only for those beneficiaries who meet specified level of care criteria.

Section 5.1.D., of the Medicaid Provider Manual (MPM) references the use of the online Michigan Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination (LOCD) tool. The LOCD must be completed for all Medicaid-reimbursed admissions to nursing facilities or enrollments in MI Choice or PACE, where available. MPM, §5.1.D., NF Coverages, April 1, 2011, pp. 8-13.

The LOCD tool consists of seven-service entry Doors. The doors are: Activities of Daily Living, Cognition, Physician Involvement, Treatments and Conditions, Skilled Rehabilitative Therapies, Behavior, or Service Dependency. In order to <u>remain</u> eligible for MI Choice Waiver services, the Appellant must meet the requirements of at least one Door. The Department presented testimony and documentary evidence that the Appellant did not meet any of the criteria for Doors 1 through 7.

<u>Door 1</u> Activities of Daily Living (ADLs)

Scoring Door 1: The applicant must score at least six points to qualify under Door 1.

- (A) Bed Mobility, (B) Transfers, and (C) Toilet Use:
- Independent or Supervision = 1
- Limited Assistance = 3
- Extensive Assistance or Total Dependence = 4
- Activity Did Not Occur = 8
- (D) Eating:
- Independent or Supervision = 1
- Limited Assistance = 2
- Extensive Assistance or Total Dependence = 3
- Activity Did Not Occur = 8

The waiver agency determined that the Appellant was independent in all fields of mobility. [Initially, the Appellant was eligible for waiver services through Door #1 as she demonstrated limited ability to ambulate and required extensive assistance for mobility.]

Door 2 Cognitive Performance

Scoring Door 2: The applicant must score under one of the following three options to qualify under Door 2.

- 1. "Severely Impaired" in Decision Making.
- 2. "Yes" for Memory Problem, and Decision Making is "Moderately Impaired" or "Severely Impaired."
- 3. "Yes" for Memory Problem, and Making Self Understood is "Sometimes Understood" or "Rarely/Never Understood."

The Appellant did not qualify under Door 2. The Appellant can make herself understood with clarity. Furthermore, she was an effective advocate in the presentation of her appeal at hearing.

Door 3 Physican Involvement

Scoring Door 3: The applicant must meet either of the following to qualify under Door 3:

- 1. At least one Physician Visit exam AND at least four Physicians Order changes in the last 14 days, OR
- 2. At least two Physician Visit exams AND at least two Physicians Order changes in the last 14 days.

The evidence presented is uncontested that the Appellant was not qualified under Door 3 as she did not have any physician exam visits or physician order changes within 14 days of the assessment.

Door 4 Treatments and Conditions

Scoring Door 4: The applicant must score "yes" in at least one of the nine categories above and have a continuing need to qualify under Door 4.

In order to qualify under Door 4 the applicant must receive, within 14 days of the assessment date, any of the following health treatments or demonstrated any of the following health conditions:

- A. Stage 3-4 pressure sores
- B. Intravenous or parenteral feedings
- C. Intravenous medications

- D. End-stage care
- E. Daily tracheostomy care, daily respiratory care, daily suctioning
- F. Pneumonia within the last 14 days
- G. Daily oxygen therapy
- H. Daily insulin with two order changes in last 14 days
- I. Peritoneal or hemodialysis

No evidence was presented indicating the Appellant had met the criteria listed for Door 4 at the time of the assessment.

<u>Door 5</u> <u>Skilled Rehabilitation Therapies</u>

Scoring Door 5: The applicant must have required at least 45 minutes of active ST, OT or PT (scheduled or delivered) in the last 7 days and continues to require skilled rehabilitation therapies to qualify under Door 5.

No evidence was presented indicating the Appellant had met the criteria listed for Door 5 at the time of the assessment.

<u>Door 6</u> Behavior

Scoring Door 6: The applicant must score under one of the following 2 options to qualify under Door 6.

- A "Yes" for either delusions or hallucinations within the last 7 days.
- The applicant must have exhibited any one of the following behaviors for at least 4 of the last 7 days (including daily): Wandering, Verbally Abusive, Physically Abusive, Socially Inappropriate/Disruptive, or Resisted Care.

No evidence was presented indicating that Appellant met the criteria set forth above to qualify under Door 6.

Door 7 Service Dependency

Scoring Door 7: The applicant must be a current participant and demonstrate service dependency under Door 7.

It was uncontested that the Appellant had other resources in the community for her assistance.

The Appellant testified that she believes she should remain eligible for the MI Choice waiver owing to her limited vision [Genetic Retinosis Pigmentosus] because she did not know what she would be able to do later.

Her witness, said that the hip surgery and her ability to transfer created a difficult situation for her – and that owing to her blindness she is fearful and has a trust issue regarding others in her home. She said she does not believe that the Appellant will be able to cook or properly care for her cat.

The Agency witness testified as to the Appellant's abilities at each service domain [above] during her in-home assessment. He said she was found to be independent.

While this ALJ is sympathetic to the Appellant's position, he does not have authority to disregard the policy set forth by the Department in the Medicaid Provider Manual. The LOCD represents a snapshot of the Appellant's condition at a single point in time – on the date of her assessment she failed to satisfy MPM policy for continued eligibility.

The Department's review, utilizing the LOCD tool, was correct when administered.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Waiver Agency properly determined that the Appellant did not qualify for MI Choice Waiver services on in-home assessment conducted on

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for Olga Dazzo, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

CC:



Date Mailed: _10/4/2011____

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.