#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-40610 Issue No.: 1038; 3029 Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 3, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on August 3, 2011 in De troit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of H uman Serv ices (Department) was represented by

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in i ts decision to close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case and decreas e Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to noncompliance with work-related activities?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP and FAP.
- 2. The Department closed Claimant's FIP c ase and decreased Claimant's FAP benefits due to noncompliance with work-related activities, effective May 1, 2011.
- 3. Claimant requested a hearing on May 10, 2011.
- 4. The Department did not offer into evidence a Notice of Noncompliance.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

FIP was e stablished pursuant to the Pers onal Resp onsibility a nd Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Brid ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

FAP was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the F AP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the BAM, BEM and PRM.

The Depar tment requires clients to partici pate in employment and s elf-sufficiencyrelated activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. All Work Eligible Indiv iduals (WEIs) are required to participate in the development of a Family Self-Sufficiency Pla n (F SSP) u nless good c ause e xists. BEM 228. As condition of eligibility, all WEIs must enga ge in employment and/ or self-sufficiencyrelated activities. BEM 233A. The WEI is consid ered non-compliant for failing o r refusing to appear and participate with the JET Program or othe r employment service provider. BEM 233A. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or s elf-sufficiency-related activities that are bas ed on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 2 33A. The first and second occ urrences of non-compliance result in a th ree-month FIP closure. BE M 233A. The third occurrence results in a twelve-month sanction. The goal of The FIP penalty policy is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A.

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) which must include the date(s) of the noncompliance; the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A. In addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

In the present case, the De partment did not pres ent in to evidence a Notice of Noncompliance or any other evidence proving that Claimant was noncompliant in work-related activities. Claimant testified credibly at the hearing that she attempted to contact her worker, and that she had surgery, pr eventing her from working. Since the Department did not pr ove specific noncompliance dates, and since Claimant proffered in general that she had good cause for not wo rking, I cannot find that the Department

#### 201140610/SB

was correct in its decision to close Claim ant's FIP cas e and decrease Claim ant's FAP benefits due to noncompliance with work-related activities.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in its decision to close Claimant's FIP case and decrease Claimant's FAP benefits, and it is therefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED:

- 1. The Department shall rein state Claimant's FIP case, e ffective May 1, 2011, if Clamant is otherwise eligible.
- 2. The Department shall rest ore Claimant's FAP benefits, effective May 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 3. The Department shall issue supplements for any missed or increased payments for Claimant's FIP and FAP cases.

Susan Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/9/11

Date Mailed: 8/9/11

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm