STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2011-40577
Issue No: 3008
Oakland County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the DHS client's (also referred to as "Claimant") request for a hearing received on June 21, 2011. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 23, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did the department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for failure to timely return the required verifications or, in the alternative, because Claimant was active on another case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was receiving FAP at all times pertinent to this hearing.¹ (Hearing Summary).
- 2. On May 31, 2011, the department mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503-C), and requested Claimant provide updated employment information and the last 30 (thirty) days of pay stubs from her boyfriend, who was a group member. (Department Exhibit 3). The proofs were due no later than June 3, 2011. (Department Exhibit 3).

¹ According to the department, Claimant was an active member and was receiving FAP on another case (Case Number 102341098).

- 3. On June 3, 2011, Claimant sent the department a check stub representing two weeks (May 1, 2011 through May 14, 2011), but she failed to submit paystubs from the remaining 2 (two) weeks from May, 2011. (Department Exhibit 3).
- 4. On June 13, 2011, the department mailed the claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605), informing her that her FAP benefits would close for failure to submit the requested verifications and because Claimant was ineligible due to being active on another case. (Notice of Case Action, Department Exhibit 4).
- 5. On June 21, 2011, Claimant submitted a hearing request challenging the closure of her FAP benefits. (Request for a Hearing).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The client has the right to request a hearing for any action, failure to act or undue delay by the department. BAM 105. The department provides an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine its appropriateness. BAM 600.

The regulations that govern the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are contained in the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code) Rules 400.901 through 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to a recipient who is aggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Mich Admin Code 400.903(1).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. The department's policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130. Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications and DHS staff must assist when necessary. BAM 105. Specifically, the local office must assist clients who ask for help in completing forms or gathering verifications. BAM 105 and BAM 130. The department must allow a client 10 (ten) calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. BAM 130. Should the client indicate a refusal to provide a verification or, conversely, if the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, the department may send the client a negative action notice. BAM 130.

Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130. For FAP only, if the client contacts the department prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, you must assist them with the verifications but do not grant an extension. BAM 130.

BEM 222 provides that a person cannot be a member of more than one FAP Certified Group (CG) in any month.

In the instant case, Claimant is disputing the department's termination of her FAP benefits for failure to provide the Verification Checklist (DHS-3503-C); specifically, last 30 days of check stubs or earning statements from her group member boyfriend. The Verification Checklist and 30 day paystubs were required by June 10, 2011. Claimant only sent paystubs from a two week period (May 1, 2011 through May 14, 2011) before the deadline. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant did not return paystubs from the last 30 days before the June 10, 2011 due date. In addition, the department has provided documentation which reflects that Claimant was active for FAP on another case (Case Number 102341098). The evidence shows that Claimant was an active member of a 7 (seven) member group in another household receiving FAP on another case from September, 2010 through June, 2011.

Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds, based on the material and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, that the department properly closed Claimant's FAP benefits for failure to timely submit the last 30 days of paystubs and because Claimant is actively receiving FAP on another case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department properly closed Claimant's FAP benefits for failure to timely turn in the requested verifications and because Claimant is active for FAP on another case.

Accordingly, the department's action is AFFIRMED.

It is SO ORDERED.

		/s/ C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director	
Date Signed:_	9/8/11	Department of Human Services	
Date Mailed:	9/8/11		

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CAP/ds

