# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: 2011-39612 Reg. No.:

> Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

October 17, 2011 Hearing Date: DHS County: Wayne (82-31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on October 17, 2011, in Detroit, MI. Claimant's representative, appeared on Claimant's behalf. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

### ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On September 16, 2010, Claimant applied for MA-P and retro MA-P to June 2010.
- 2. On February 17, 2011, the Medical Review Team denied Claimant's request.
- 3. On June 10, 2011, Claimant submitted to the Department a request for hearing.
- 4. The State Hearing and Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant's request.
- 5. Claimant is 38 years old.
- 6. Claimant completed education through high school.
- 7. Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.

- 9. Claimant suffers from diabetes, back pain and vision problems.
- 10. Claimant has significant limitations on physical activities involving sitting, standing, walking, bending, lifting, and stooping.
- 11. On March 25, 2011, the Social Security Administration issued a fully favorable decision regarding an application dated June 24, 2010, finding Claimant disabled.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

MA-P is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers MA-P pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per BEM Item 260.

The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done, beginning June 2010. The prior months of requested coverage are approved per BEM 260, since the Social Security Administration issued a final determination.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled under the MA program as of June 2010.

Accordingly, the Department is hereby ORDERED to open an ongoing MA case for Claimant effective June 2010.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 18, 2011

Date Mailed: October 18, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### JWO/pf

cc: