STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2011-39372

Issue No. 3000

Case No.

Hearing Date: July 21, 2011

Wayne County DHS (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on July 21, 2011 in De troit, Michigan. Cl aimant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was the D epartment correct in its calculation of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's FAP benefits were decreased, effective April 1, 2011.
- 2. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the decre ase in his FAP ben efits.
- At the hearing, the Depar tment agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011, and ongoing, taking into account all budgeting factors, including shelter expenses.

4. As a result of this agreement, Claim ant indicated that he no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Dep artment provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Enforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case the Department has agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011, and ongoing, taking into acc ount all budgeting factors, including s helter expenses. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indic ated he no longer wis hed to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED:

1.) The Department shall re-determine Claima nt's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011, and ongoing, taking into account all budgeting factors, in a ccordance with the settlement.

2011-39372/SB

2.) It is further ORDERED that any missed or increased payments shall be issued in the form of a supplement.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susa C. Bruke

Date Signed: July 26, 2011

Date Mailed: July 26, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB /cl

