# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2011-3738

Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

January 19, 2011 Lapeer County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jay W. Sexton

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on January 19, 2011, in Lapeer. The clai mant personally appeared and testified under oath. The claimant was represented by

The department was represented by (Medical Specialist).

The Administrative Law Judge appeared by telephone from Lansing.

Claimant requested additional time to submit new m edical evidence. Claimant waived the timeliness requirement so new medical evidence could be reviewed by SHRT.

#### **ISSUES**

- (1) Did claimant establis h a severe m ental impairment ex pected to preclude him from substantial gainful work, **continuously**, for one year (MA-P)?
- (2) Did claimant establish a severe physical impairment expected to preclude him from substantial gainful work, **continuously**, for one year (MA-P)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

the competent, material and substantial The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

Claimant is an MA-P applicant (F ebruary 10, 2010) who was denied by (1)

SHRT (November 9, 2010 and February 3, 2011) due to insufficient medical evidence.

- (2) Claimant's vocational factors are: age--36; education--high school diploma; post high school education --none; work ex perience--machine operator and cabinet maker.
- (3) Claimant has not performed Substantial Gainfu | Activity (SGA) since worked since he worked as a machine operator for a hydraulic tubes and fitting company.
- (4) Claimant has the following unable-to-work complaints:
  - (a) Degenerative disc disease;
  - (b) Left knee pain; and
  - (c) Left hip pain.
- (5) On February 18, 2011, SHRT approved c laimant for MA-P with an onset date of February 2011.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

### LEGAL BASE

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

SHRT approved claimant for MA-P benefit s with a disability ons et date of November 2009.

Therefore, the ALJ does not need to rule on the issue of disability at this time.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that claimant meets the MA-P disability requirements unde repem/BEM 260. Claimant is disabled for MA-P purposes based on a recent approval by SHRT with a disability onset date of November 2009.

Accordingly, the department's denial of cl aimant's MA-P applic ation is, hereby, REVERSED.

The department shall open c laimant's MA-P case effective February 2010. The department shall review claimant's ongoing e ligibility for MA-P on February 1, 2012 and shall provide claimant with an updated physical examination prior to making a decis ion on continued eligibility.

SO ORDERED.

| /s/ |                                 |
|-----|---------------------------------|
|     | Jay W. Sexton                   |
|     | Administrative Law Judge        |
|     | For Maura D. Corrigan, Director |
|     | Department of Human Services    |

Date Signed: April 11, 2011

Date Mailed: April 12, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JWS/tg

CC:

