STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2011-3701

Issue No.: <u>2000</u>

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 19, 2011
DHS County: Wayne (82-18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 19, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant's sister, ey, also appeared on behalf of Claimant. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA on August 19, 2010.
- 2. The Department sent a verification checklist to Claimant on September 10, 2010.
- Claimant's MA application was denied on October 4, 2010.
- Clamant requested a hearing on October 8, 2010.
- 5. At the hearing, the Department agreed to re-process Claimant's MA application of August 19, 2010, to determine for which program, if any, Claimant qualifies.

2011-3701/SCB

6. As a result of the agreement, Claimant indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The MA program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under BAM Item 600, clients have the right to contest any Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Department provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continue through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Department has agreed to reprocess Claimant's MA application of August 19, 2010, to determine for which program, if any, Claimant qualifies. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED that the Department reprocess Claimant's MA of August 19, 2010, in accordance with this settlement.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 26, 2011

2011-3701/SCB

Date Mailed: January 27, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/pf

