### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg. No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: 201136845 3008

July 7, 2011 Oakland County DHS (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 7, 2011 fr om D etroit, Michigan. The Claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Hu man Services (DHS), Specialist, and Manager, appeared and testified.

## ISSUE

Whether DHS properly denied CI aimant's Food Assistance Program application dated 1/25/11 based on an alleged failure by Claimant to verify income.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 1/25/11, Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
- 2. Claimant listed two jobs on his Assistance Application.
- 3. On 1/31/11, DHS mailed a Verification Checklist (VCL) (Exhibit 1) which stated, "Please provide additional information about: Employment Unknown."
- 4. The due date on the VCL to return the verification was 2/10/11.
- 5. In response to the VCL, Claimant s ubmitted various documents concerning his jobs including copies of time sheets and advertising materials.

2011-36845/CG

- 6. On 2/12/11, DHS deni ed Claimant's application for FAP benefits based on Claimant's alleged failure to verify information (see Exhibit 2).
- 7. On 2/22/11, Claimant submitted a Request for a Hearing concerning "Food Card" programs.
- 8. The 2/22/11 Request for a Hearing concerned a 2/12/11 date of action by DHS.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, *et seq.*, and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001-3015. DHS regulat ions are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibilit y Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Updates to DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Policy Bulletin (BPB).

The undersigned will refer to the DHS regulations in effect as of 2/2011, the month of the DHS decision which Claimant is dis puting. Current DHS m anuals may be found online at the following URL: <u>http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/</u>.

Claimant testified that the reason he requested a hearing was to dispute an 11/2010 or 12/2010 FAP benefit termination. Claimant's hearing request only stated the issue in dispute involved "food card" benefits. The only other information DHS had to identify Claimant's dispute prior to the hearing was the 2/12/11 notice date on Claimant's hearing request. The notice date of refers to the date DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action. The Notice of Case Action dated 2/12/11 re ferred to a denial of FAP benefits from an application for FAP benefit s dated 1/25/11. Claimant gav e DHS no indication that he intended to dispute a 12/2010 FAP benefit te rmination. Accordingly, the issue in the present case is properly framed as the correctness of the DHS denial of Claimant's 1/25/11 FAP benefit application.

A request for program benefits begins with the filing of a DHS-1171 or other acceptable form. BAM 110 at 1. Before processing an application, DHS may require a client to verify information within their application. Verification is us ually required at application. BAM 130 at 1. DHS must give clients at least ten days to submit verifications. *Id.* Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's ver bal or written statements. *Id.* DHS must tell the c lient what ve rification is required, how to obtain it, and the due dat e. *Id.* at 2. DHS is to use the DHS-35 03, Verification Checklist to request verification. *Id.* at 3. If the group is ineligible or refuses to cooper ate in the applic ation process, DHS is to certify the denial within the st andard of promptness to avoid receiving an ov erdue task in Bridges (the DHS database). BAM 115 at 16. Br idges sends a DHS 1605, Client Notice, or the DHS-1 150, Appl ication Eligibility Notice, with the denia I reason(s). *Id.* For FAP benefits, DHS is to s end a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130 at 5.

Looking at the VCL utilize d by DHS, DHS requested "addition al information" about t Claimant's employment. DHS did not request a specific document nor identify what information concerning Claimant 's employment was lacking. In response to the VCL, DHS conceded that Claimant submitted an assortment of documents including advertisement materials for his employer. Claimant testified that he was paid in cash for one of his jobs and indeed submitted advertising documents, but that he also submitted time sheets and other employment related documents which should have been useful to DHS.

DHS may be correct by contending that Claimant's submission of advertising materials was unhelpful because the documents failed to verify Claimant's employment income, something that would be necess ary to determine Claimant's benefit eligibility. However, DHS is incorrect in finding that Claimant faile d to comply with the VCL r equest. A request for "additional information" concerning employment without identifying which information is so vague that Claimant could have been exclused from submitting any documentation. It is found that Claimant complied with the VCL.

For FAP benefits, DHS is to s end a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. *Id.* at 5. As it has been found that Claimant complied with the vague verificat ion request, it is accordingly found that DHS erred by sending a negative action notice (i.e. Notice of Case Action) in denying Claimant's FAP benefit application dated 1/25/11.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that DHS impr operly denied Claimant 's application dated 1/25/11for FAP benefits. It is ordered that DHS:

(1) reinstate Claimant's FAP benefit application dated 1/25/11;

- (2) process Claimant's application in accordance with DHS regulations; and
- (3) supplement Claimant for any FAP benef its not received as a result of the improper DHS denial.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christin Dordoch

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 12, 2011

Date Mailed: July 12, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/cl

