STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: 2011-36738 3003 July 6, 2011 MACOMB (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admi nistrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) Sections 400.9 and 400.37 and Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held in Detroit on July 6, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. Assistance Pa yments Supervisor, appeared and testified on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS).

ISSUE

Whether DHS provided Food Assistanc e Pr ogram (FAP) benefit s to Claimant in accordance with DHS policy and procedure?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

- 1. At all times pertinent to this case, Claimant was under the age of sixty, she was not disabled, and she was not a veteran.
- 2. On or about August 1, 2009, DHS began to provide FAP benefits to Claimant.
- 3. DHS' FAP budget calculations f or Claimant do not include a deduction from her gross income for her medical expenses.
- 4. On June 7, 2011, Claimant filed a Reques t for a Hearing with DHS stating, "My medical expenses have not been budgeted in my food stamps."

2011-36738/JL

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FAP was established by the Unit ed States Food Stamp Act of 1977 and is implemented by federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq*., and Michigan Administ rative Code Rules 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in Bridge's Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridge's Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Reference Tables (RFT). The se manuals are available online at www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals.

The administrative manuals are the policies and procedures DHS officially c reated for its own use. While the DHS manuals are not laws created by the U.S. Congress or the Michigan Legislature, they constitute legal au thority which DHS must follow. It is to the manuals that I look now, in or der to see what poli cy applies in t his case. After setting forth what the app licable policy is, I will e xamine whether it was in fact follo wed in this case.

In the DHS Hearing Summary prepared by DHS for this Admi nistrative Hearing, DHS states that the lega I authority for its action is BEM 554, "FAP Allowable Expenses and Expense Budgeting." I agree that BEM 554 is the applicable DHS policy in this case.

BEM 554 states that only senior, disabled and veteran clients are entitled to a deduction from their gross income for medical expenses. BEM 554, pp. 6-9. Therefore I determine and decide that Claimant is not ent itled to a deduction of her medical expenses from her gross income for FAP calculation purposes. I find and determine that the Department acted in furtherance of policy and s hould be AFFIRMED in this case.

In conclusion, based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law above, I AFFIRM the Department's action in this case. DHS need take no further action in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, deter mines that DHS took appropriate action in calculating Claimant's FAP benefits. The Department's action is AFFIRMED. The Department need take no further action.

Jan love.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 11, 2011

2011-36738/JL

Date Mailed: July 11, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/cl

