## STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2011-36346 Issue No. 3000

Issue No. Case No.

Hearing Date: June 30, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on June 30, 2011. Claimant appeared a nd testified.

FIM, appeared on beh alf of the Department of Human Services (Department or DHS.)

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct i n its decision to close Claim ant's Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) case?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substa ntial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department closed Claimant's FAP case, effective June 1, 2011.
- 2. Claimant requested a hearing on the closure.
- 3. Claimant reapplied for FAP and received partial benefits for June of 2011.
- 4. At the hearing, the D epartment agreed to reinst ate Claimant's FAP case, effective June 1, 2011 and issue a supplemental payment to Claimant.
- 5. As a res ult of the ag reement, Claimant s tated that he no longer requested a hearing.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is est ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Dep artment provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Enforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Department has agreed to reinstate Cla imant's FAP case, effective June 1, 2011, and is sue as upplement to Claimant. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated he no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecess ary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Depar tment and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a heari ng. Therefore, it is O RDERED that the Department reinstate Claimant's F AP case, effective June 1, 2011, and is sue a supplement, in accordance with the settlement agreement.

Susan C. Burke

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/1/11

Date Mailed: 7/1/11

#### 201136346/SCB

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### SB/sm

