STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg No.: 2011-36169 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Hearing Date: August 17, 2011 Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from De troit, Michigan on Wednesday, August 17, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

During the hearing, the Claimant waived t he time period for the issuance of this decision, in order to allow for the submis sion of additi onal medical evidence. The records were received, reviewed, and forw arded to the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") for consideration. On January 9, 2012, this office received the SHRT determination which found the Claimant dis abled based on an approval from the Soc ial Security Administration ("SSA"). This matter is now befor e the undersigned for a final decision.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined t hat the Claimant was no longer disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On March 25, 2011, t he Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA-P benefits on March 25, 2011, retroactive to December 2010.

2011-24671/CMM

- 2. On April 18, 2011, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)
- 3. On April 25, 2011, the Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination.
- 4. On May 4, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 3)
- 5. On June 23, 2011, the SHRT found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 5)
- 6. The Claimant alleged physical disabling impairments due to knee pain, arthritis, back pain, sciatica, shoulder pain, heel s purs, shortness of breath, high blood pressure, acid reflu x, umbilic al hernia, ab dominal pain, diab etes, obesity, and closed head injury.
- 7. The Claimant has not alleged any mental disabling impairment(s).
- 8. At the time of hearing, the Claim ant was years old with an birth date; was 5'5" in height; and weighed 297 pounds.
- 9. The Claimant is a high school graduat e with some c ollege and an employment history of work in fast food restaurants, as a care provider, and in a nursery.
- 10. The Claimant's impairments have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for a period of 12 months or longer.
- 11. On Januar y 4, 2012, the SHRT found the Claimant disabled based on a favorable SSA determination.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridge s Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A previous ly denied MA appl ication is treated as a pending applic ation when MRT determined the Claim ant was not disabled and subs equently, the SSA det ermines that the Claimant is entitle d to SSI based on his disability/blindness for some, or all, of the

2011-24671/CMM

time covered by the denied MA application. BEM 260. All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260.

In this case, the SSA approved the Claim ant for social s ecurity benefits with the disability o nset date of Augus t 19, 2011. Based on the favorable determination, the SHRT found the Claimant disabled effective July 1, 2010. Accordingly, because of the favorable SSA determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge t o discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination on that the Claimant was not disabled is REVERSED.
- 2. The Department shall in itiate processing of (if not previously done so) the March 25, 2011, to incl ude all applicable retroactive months, to determine if all other non-medical criteria are met and inform the Claimant of the dete rmination in accordance with department policy.
- 3. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified with respect to the March 25, 2011 application.

Colleen M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 13, 2012

Date Mailed: January 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

CC:		