STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.	201136157
Issue No.	2001
Case No.	
Hearing Date:	June 29, 2011
	Macomb County DHS (36)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J une 29, 2011 from Detroit, Michigan. T he Claimant appeared and testified; also appeared and testified on behalf of Claiman t. On behalf of Department of Human Servic es (DHS), period.

ISSUE

Whether DHS properly termi nated Claimant's Adult Medi cal Program (AMP) benefits effective 3/2011 based on Claimant's alleged failure to submit a Redetermination.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing AMP benefit recipient.
- 2. Claimant's AMP benefit period was scheduled to end 2/28/11.
- 3. On 1/13/11, DHS mailed a Redetermination (DHS-1010) to Claimant.
- 4. Claimant timely returned the Redetermination to DHS.
- 5. DHS failed to receive the Redetermination returned by Claimant.
- 6. On 2/17/11, DHS mailed a Notice of Cas e Action informing Claimant of AMP termination based on a failure to return redetermination documents.

2011-6157/CG

7. On 5/13/11, Claimant requested a hearin g to dispute the termination of AM P benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115) (a) (1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the DHS pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*. DHS policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges El igibility Manual (BEM) and the Refe rence Tables Manual (RFT). AMP benefits are part of the Medical Assistance (MA) program.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implement ed by Title 42 of the C ode of F ederal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The undersigned will refer to t he DHS regulations in ef fect as of 2/2011, the month of the DHS decision which Claimant is dis puting. Current DHS m anuals may be found online at the following URL: <u>http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/</u>.

DHS must periodically redetermine an individual's eligibility for benefit programs. BAM 210 at 1. A complete redetermination is required at least every 12 months. *Id.*

The redetermination process begins with DHS mailing a r edetermination packet in the month prior to the end of the benefit period. *Id* at 4. The packet consists of forms and requests for verification that are necessary for DHS to process the redetermination. The forms needed for redetermination may vary though a Redetermination (DHS-1010) is an acceptable review form for all programs.

For AMP benefits, verifications ar e due the date the packet is due. *Id.* Bridges (the DHS database) allows clients a full 10 calendar days from t he date the verification is requested (date of request is not counted) to provide all documents and information. *Id.* If the 10th day falls on a week end or holiday, the verification would not be due until the next business day. *Id.* Bridges gives timely notice of the negative action if the time limit is not met.

In the present case, there was no dispute that DHS mailed Claimant a Redetermination and followed all nec essary procedures in terminating Claimant's AMP benefits after DHS failed to receive the Redetermination. The only is sue in dispute was whether the Redetermination was returned by Claimant.

2011-6157/CG

Claimant testified that on an unspecified date after he received a Notice of Case Action, he drove to DHS and dropped off a Redetermination in a drop box located outside of the DHS office. Claimant's testimony was corroborated by his m other who drove with Claimant on the date that he submitted the document. Ne ither Claimant nor his mother could remember the specific date when the document was submitted but Claimant believed that it was in late 2/2011.

Claimant's testimony was cr edible and corroborated. Cla imant provided sufficient details of the day the redetermination was submitted to make it more it more likely than not that he submitted the Redetermination as required by DHS regulations.

Based on the sheer volume of paperwork requested by DHS, it is reasonable to believe that documents would be occasionally misplaced or not deliver ed. Though the hard work of DHS staff can minimize mistakes, it cannot prevent them entirely. The undersigned appreciates that Claimant's specialist did everything reasonably possible to assist Claimant, including sending an email to her coworkers to check for the misplaced Redetermination. Despit e the excellent work Claimant timely submitted the Redeterminat redetermine Claimant's AMP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that DHS improperly fa iled to redetermine Cla imant's AMP benefits beginning 3/2011. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) initiate redetermination of Claimant's AMP benefits beginning 3/2011; and
- (2) if DHS is unable t o locate Claim ant's already submitted redetermination documents, DHS may re-request the docu ments, in compliance with their regulations.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christin Dordoch

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 6, 2011

Date Mailed: July 6, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/cl

