#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-36046 Issue No.: 3002, 2000 Case No.: September 15, 2011 Hearing Date: Wayne County DHS (19) County:

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 15, 2011 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant only. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.

### ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application Close Claimant's case R reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes	
$\sim$	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits for: X received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).
- 2. On 11/1/10, the Department denied Claimant's application

closed Claimant's case	reduced Claimant's benefits
due to excess income.	

3. On 10/1/11, the Department sent

ClaimantClaimant's Authorized Representative (AR)notice of thedenial.Closure.reduction.

4. On November 16, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

🔄 denial of the application. 🛛 closure of the case. 🛛 🖾 reduction of benef
--

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

### Additionally,

FOOD ASSISTANCE

The Department based the Claimant's Food Assistance benefits (FAP) on the Claimant's pay stubs which she provided and her income fluctuated even though she was employed full time.

During the hearing the budget for November 2010 was examined and was determined to be correct. The Department could not produce complete pay stubs for October and December 2010 and thus no determination as to whether the correct income was utilized could be made at the hearing. Because the Department could not substantiate the income used to calculate the benefits, the Department must recalculate the FAP benefits for the months of October and December 2010.

#### MEDICAL ASSISTANCE

The Claimant also sought a hearing regarding her Medicaid benefits and her deductible amount. A review of the eligibility summary indicated that at the time she requested her hearing in November 2011 the Claimant was receiving TMA, Transitional Medical Assistance, which is a program that last 12 months and has no deductible. As the TMA had not ended and was ongoing there was no issue to be resolved by the Claimant's hearing request.

Based upon the above Find	dings of Fact a	nd Conclusion	ons of Law,	and	for the	reasons
stated on the record, the	Administrative	Law Judge	concludes	that,	due to	excess
income, the Department	properly	🖂 imprope	ərly			

denied Claimant's application

 $\boxtimes$  reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. MEDICAL ASSISTANCE The Claimant's hearing request regarding medical assistance did not require a resolution, as no deductible was imposed on the Claimant as she was receiveing ongoing Transitional Medical Assistance (TMA), which was to continue and the Claimant had no deductible at the time of her hearing request. Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED.
- 2. FOOD ASSISTANCE (FAP) The Department correctly computed the Claimant's FAP budget for November 2011, and its determination of FAP benefits for that month is AFFIRMED.
- 3. The Department's determination of FAP benefits for October and December 2010 is REVERSED.
- 4. The Department is ordered to recalculate the FAP benefits for October and December 2010 based on pay stubs to be provided by the Claimant, if required by the Department.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 26, 2011

Date Mailed: September 26, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

## 2011-36046/LMF

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### LMF/hw

