STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2011-35989 Issue No. 3000

Issue No. 30 Case No.

Hearing Date: June 30, 2011

Macomb (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admi nistrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400. 37 and Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conduct ed from Detroit, Michigan on June 30, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified.

Eligib ility Specialist, appear ed and testified on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS).

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether Claimant is eligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

- 1. On January 28, 2010, Claimant and her mother lived together at
- 2. On January 29, 2010, Claimant's mother moved out and took up residence with another family member in order to provide in-home health care.
- 3. In 2011, DHS provided Claimant with FAP benefits.
- 4. In May, 2011, DHS terminated Claimant's FAP benefits effective June 1, 2011.

- 5. On May 10, 2011, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing with DHS.
- 6. At the Administrative Hearing on June 30, 2011, DHS agreed to reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FAP benefits.
- 7. As a result of DHS' agreement to re instate and process CI aimant's application, Claimant testified she no longer wished to continue the Administrative Hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FAP was established by the U.S. Food Stamp Act of 1977 and is implemented by Federal regulations c ontained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq*. and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.3001-400.3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables (RFT). These manuals are available online at www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals.

Under BAM Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit le vels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The a gency provides an Administ rative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continue through the day of the hearing.

At the hearing the parties agreed to resolve the situation with the remedy that DHS will reinstate and process Claimant's FAP benefits. As the parties have agreed to settle their differences, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to adjudicate the issues presented.

In conclus ion, based on the ab ove findings of fact, the c onclusions of law, and the settlement agreement of the par ties, IT IS ORDERED that DHS shall reinstate and process Claimant's F AP benefits and supplem ent Claimant's benefits retroactively t o June 1, 2011 or other appropriate date in order to provide her with all benef its to which she is entitled. All steps shall be taken in accordance with DHS policy and procedures.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law and the stipulated agreement of the parties, states IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

2011-35989/JL

DHS shall reinstate and process Claimant's FAP benefits effective June 1, 2011 or other appropriate date, and provide all supplemental benefit is to which Claimant is entitled, in accordance with DHS policies and procedures.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 30, 2011

Date Mailed: June 30, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/cl

cc: