#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2011-35479 Issue Nos. 1018, 2019, 3002

Case No. Hearing Date:

June 23, 2011

Macomb (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admi nistrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400.37, and Cla imant request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 23, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified at the hearing on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS).

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether DHS calculated Claim ant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits, Family Independence Program (FIP) benef its, and Medical Assistance Patient Pay Amount (MA or Medicaid PPA, spend-do wn or deductible), for June, 2011, in accordance with DHS policy and procedure?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

- In 2011, Claimant was a DHS customer receiving benefits from the FAP, FIP and MA programs.
- On May 1, 2011, the U.S. So cial Se curity Administration (SSA) approved Claimant for \$716 per month Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits.

- 3. On May 20, 2011, DHS issued a Noti ce of Case Action which terminated Claimant's FIP benefits, reduced Claimant's FAP benefits, and imposed a Medicaid spend-down requirement of \$122 upon Claimant. All of these actions were to become effective June 1, 2011.
- 4. On May 27, 2011, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing with DHS.
- 5. In June, 2011, SSA failed to pay Claimant his RSDI benefits, resulting in a June, 2011 income for Claimant of zero (\$0.00).

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

FIP was establish ed by the U.S. Pers onal Res ponsibility a nd Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 United States Code 601 *et seq.* DHS administers FIP pursuant to MC L 400.10 *et seq.* and Michigan Administrative Code Rules (M ACR) 400.3101-400.3131. Departm ent polic ies are found in Brid ges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Reference Tables (RFT). These manuals are available online at <a href="https://www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals">www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals</a>.

FAP was established by the U.S. Food Stamp Act of 1977 and is implemented by Federal regulations c ontained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* . and MACR 400.3001- 400.3015. Department policies are found in BAM, BEM and RFT. *Id.* 

MA was established by Title XIX of the U.S. Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers MA pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq*. and MCL 400.105. DHS policies are found in BAM, BEM and RFT. *Id.* 

BAM, BEM and RFT are the poli cies and procedures DHS officially created for its own use. While the DHS manuals are not laws create d by the U.S. Congress or the Michigan Legislature, they constitute legal au thority which DHS must follow. It is to the manuals that I look now, in order to see what policies apply in this case. After setting forth what the applica ble policies are, I will ex amine whether they were in fact followed in this case.

In this case, Claimant is contesting the J une, 2011 termination of FIP, the reduction of FAP, and the Medicaid spend-do wn requirement. Cla imant's concern is that he does not understand why these changes occurred even though he had no income.

BEM 505, "Prospective Budgeting/Income Change Processing," provides DHS with procedures for adjusting benefits when a client's income undergoes change. DHS is

required to make changes in the amount of benefits when a pers on's income changes. So, while DHS' calculations based on the May, 2011 RSDI income were correct at the time, when Claimant then had no income in June, the calculations are invalid for that particular month. BEM 505, p. 5.

In conclusion, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, I find and determine that DHS is REVE RSED. DHS is required to reopen and r eprocess Claimant's FAP, FIP and MA cases, and make new income calculations for the month of June, 2011. DHS shall provide Claimant wit h any supplemental benefits to which he is entitled.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, ORDERS that DHS is REVERSED. IT IS ORDERED that DHS shall reopen and reprocess Claimant's FAP, FIP and MA benefits, based on his June, 2011 income of zero or other appropriate number, and prov ide Claimant with all supplemental benefits to which he is entitled for June. 2011.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 28, 2011

Date Mailed: July 28, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party with hin 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's moint to make the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

# 2011-35479/JL

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# JL/cl

