STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-35167

Issue No.: 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 20, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 20, 2011. The Claimant was represented by The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by ES, and AP Supervisor.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was the D epartment correct in i ts calculation of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP.
- Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the amount of benefits.
- 3. At the hearing, the Depar tment agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective June 1, 2011, and ongoing. As a result of the agreement, Claimant's representative indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is est ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in BAM, BEM and PRM.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Dep artment provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Enforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Department has agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective June 1, 2011, and ongoing. As a result of this agreement, Claimant's representative indicated she no longer wis hed to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the De partment have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decis ion regarding the facts and issues regarding Claimant's FAP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law decides that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDE RED that the Department redetermine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective June 1, 2011, and ongoing, in accordance with this settlement. It is further or ORDERED that any missed or increased payments shall be made in the form of a supplement.

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Buche

Date Signed: 6/23/11

Date Mailed: 6/23/11

2011-35167/SB

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

