STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2011-34768 Issue No. 6019

Case No.

Hearing Date: July 14, 2011

Wayne County DHS (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thur sday, July 14, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly terminated the Claimant's Child Development & Care ("CDC") benefits effective May 22, 2011?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a CDC recipient.
- 2. At redetermination, the Claimant re ceived \$438.32/week in ear ned income and \$198.00/month in Social Security Income ("SSI").
- 3. For the months of January through Ap ril, the Claimant received \$510.04, \$254.82, \$510.04, and \$382.23, respectively, in child support payments.
- 4. The Claimant's group size is 4.

- 5. As a result of the Claimant's inc ome, the Claimant was found no longer eligible for CDC benefits.
- 6. The Department notified the Claimant of the CDC termination.
- 7. On May 13, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Car e program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Gr ant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by T itle 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("RFT").

The goal of CDC pro gram is to preserve the family unit and to promote its economic independence and self-sufficiency by promoting safe, affor dable, accessible, quality child care for qualified families. BEM 703. DHS may provide payment for child care services for qualifying families when the parent(s)/substitute parent(s) is unavailable to provide the child care because of employment, education, and/or because of a health/social condition for which treatment is being received and care is provided by an eligible provider. BEM 703. The income eligibility limit for a group size of 4 for CDC benefits is \$2,367.00. RFT 270.

In this case, the Claimant did not disput — e the income figures used in determining continued CDC e ligibility. The Claimant did, however, disagree with the inab ility of her provider to bill for the period prior to the May 22nd closure. The Department agreed that the provider should be — able to bill for that period — and anything to the contrary was incorrect. The Claim ant was instructed to hav e the provider bill for the period prior to the closure date. In turn, the Department agreed to process accordingly. In light of the accord, there was no further issue to address.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Department's termination of CDC be enefits effective May 2 2, 2011 is

2011-34768/CMM

upheld. It is further found, that the provider's inability to bill for payment prior to the closure date is not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination of CDC ineligibility effective May 22, 201 1 is AFFIRMED.
- 2. The inability for the provider to bill due to a closed c ase for the period prior to closure is not upheld.
- 3. The Department shall, as agreed, acti vate CDC cover age prior to the May 22 nd closure date to allow for provider billing.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 19, 2011

Date Mailed: July 19, 2011

<u>NOTICE:</u> Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/cl

cc: