# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: 2011-34625 2001 June 29, 2011 Wayne County DHS

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 29, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified, via three-way telephone conference. Claimant's mother, **FIM**, appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department or DHS.)

### ISSUE

Was the Department correct in its decision to close Claim ant's Adult Medic al Program (AMP) case due to excess income?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia l evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing AMP recipient.
- 2. At Claimant's redetermination, Claimant reported gross earnings of \$300.00 biweekly.
- 3. The Depar tment closed Claimant's case effective April 1, 2011due to exces s income.
- 4. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the closure of her AMP case.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

AMP is establis hed by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administer ed by the D epartment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agenc y) pur suant to MCL 400.10 *et seq*. Department policies ar e contained in the Bridges Administrative Ma nual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM) which includes the Reference Tables (RFT.)

BEM 640 dictates that income eligibility is met when t he net income does not exceed the AMP income limit. To calculate net in come, \$200.00 is taken from gross earnings. In addition, a deduction of 20% is taken from the remaining gross earnings. RFT 236 shows the AMP monthly income limit for an individual is \$316.00.

In the present case, Claimant did not dispute that she reported earnings of \$300.00 biweekly. Using the f actor of 2.15 set out in BEM 505, Claim ant earned \$645.00 per month. After the above-de scribed deductions, Claimant's net income was \$356.00 per month. Claimant's net income of \$356.00 per month exc eeded t he inco me limit of \$316.00. The Department was therefore correct in its decision to close Claimant's AMP case. Claimant emphasized that she needs assistance for her medical needs. While I sympathize with Claimant, Depart tment policy does not afford assistance in this particular manner.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that the Depar tment's decision to close Claimant's AMP case was correct and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision is, therefore, AFFIRMED.

Jusa C. Bruke

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/1/11

Date Mailed: 7/1/11

#### 201134625/SCB

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

