# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201134367 Issue No: 2009, 4031

Case No:

Hearing Date: September 21, 2011

Jackson County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on September 21, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Claimant was represented at the hearing by

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On July 29, 2010, claimant filed an application for Medical As sistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and St ate Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On Januar y 31, 2011, the Medical Rev iew T eam denied c laimant's application stating that claimant could perform prior relevant work.
- (3) On February 12, 201 1, the department casework er sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On May 12, 2011, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On June 15, 2011, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application st ating in it's analy sis and recommendation: the

claimant had a myocardial infarction and angioplasty with stent placement in In , he underwent angiop lasty and stenting of the left superficial femoral artery and right iliac artery anthrombectomy of the left per oneal artery. His Doppler, the month following his procedure, was normal. In Dec ember 2010, he had a good radial pulses and faint dorsalis pedis puls es in his fee t. His examination was otherwis unremarkable. His mental status was within normal limits. The claimant retains the physical f unctional c apacity to perform light and sedentary work. The claimant 's past work as a draftsman was described a sedentary work. Therefore, the claim ant retains the c apacity to perform his past r elevant work. MA-P is denied per 20 CF R 416.920(e). Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 due to the capacity to perform past relevant work.

- On the date of hearing claimant was a 63-y ear-old man whose birth date is Claimant is 5'9" tall and weighs 130 pounds.
  Claimant is a high school graduat e and attended Vocational Drafting classes. Claimant is able to re ad and write and does have basic math skills.
- (7) Claimant last worked in June 2010 for a construction company as a painter. Claimant has also worked as a drafter and designer for 25 years. Claimant retired from his job in 2009 and received \$ per month in Social Security Retirement under RSDI.
- (8) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: co ronary artery disease, depression, angioplasty and dizziness as well as a myocardial infarction.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impair ments do not signific antly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

... Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood press ure, X-rays);

(4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on it's signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regula tions require that s everal considerations be analyzed in s equential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the client's s ymptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in subs tantial gainful activity and has not worked since June 2010. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective and objective medical evidence on the record indicates that claimant lives with his girlfriend and is so ingle with no children under 18 who live with him. Claimant does receive so per month in Social Security Retirement through RSDI and does not receive any benefits from the Department of Human Services. Claimant does have a driver's license and drives 3 times per week and usually drives about 20

miles as the furthest place he has to go and he usually runs errands. Claimant testified that he does cook everyday and cooks thi ngs lik e steak, chicken and spaghetti. Claimant does grocery shop 2 times per week with no help and he does clean his home by vacuuming, doing dishes and laundry. Claimant testified that he usually golf's 1 time per week for about 3 hours and he uses a golf cart. He wa tches TV 2 hours per day and reads a lot of westerns. Claimant test ified that he can stand for 30 minutes at a time and can sit with no limits. Claimant test ified that he could walk for a quarter mile and use about 2 hours recovering. Claim ant testified that he c an squat, bend at the waist, shower and dr ess himself, tie his shoes and touch his toe s and he has no side effects from his medications. Claimant testifi ed that his level of pain on a sc ale from 1-10 without medication is a 4 and with medication is a 2. Claimant testified that he is left handed and that his hands and arms are fine and he has pai n with standing in his leg s and feet. Claimant testified that the heaviest weight that he can carry is 50 pounds and he can carry 20 pound repetitively. Claimant stated that he does smoke 2-3 pipes a day and his doctor's told him to gu it and he has reduced his smoking by about two thirds. Claimant testified that in a typical day he gets up, cleans the bar, makes breakfast, cleans the house, does laundry, r uns errands for his girlfriend and cooks d inner. He usually vacuums and sweeps the bar. Claimant testified that he is unable to engage in sexual relations.

The claimant was admitted in January 2010 wit han acute myocardial inf arction. He underwent cardiac c atheterization with th rombectomy, balloon angioplasty, and stent placement to the distal right coronary artery. He underwent an echocardiogram, which was unremarkable (p. 47).

In March 2010 the c laimant had claudication and his ABI was moderately reduced on the left and mildly reduced on the right (pp. 51). In April 2010 he underwent angioplasty and stenting of the left superficial femoral artery on the right common iliac artery and thrombectomy of the left peroneal artery (p. 56). A doppler dated May 2010 was normal on the right and left. There was no evidence of significant peripheral arterial disease (pp. 27-29).

In December 2010 the claimant was 68" tall and weighed 122 pounds. His blood pressure was 120/75. There were course breat h sounds but the lung fields were clear. There was good radial puls es bilaterally and fain t d orsalis pedis pulses in the feet. There was no pitting edema. He had a decreased hair growth on the legs. The leg s and feet were warm. His exam was otherwise unremarkable (p. 100).

A mental status examin ation dated December 2010 sh owed the claimant was cooperative and v erbally responsive. He had good eye contact. His thoughts were logical, organized, simple and concrete. His communication was appropriate for his age and his mood was euthymic. His affect was pleasant. Thought content was appropriate with no thought disorder (p. 104). There was no mental diagnosis (p. 103).

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of pr oof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the

duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in restrictive physical or mental impairment. the record that claimant suffers a severely Claimant has reports of pain in multiple areas of his body; however, there are no corresponding clinic al findings that suppor t the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the claimant. There are no labor atory or x-ray findings listed in the file whic h support claimant's contention of disability. The clinical impre ssion is that claimant is stable. There is no medical finding that claim ant has any muscle at rophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has me t the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Admini strative Law Judge finds t hat the medical record is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

Claimant alleges the following disabling mental impairments: depression.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric e vidence in the record indicatin g claimant s uffers severe mental limitations . There is no ment al residual functional capacity assessment in the record. There is in sufficient evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it w ould prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was or iented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant was able to answer all of the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The evidentiar y record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impair ment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon hi s ability to perform his past relevant work. There is no evidence upon which this Admin istrative Law Judge could base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which he has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequentia evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more t han 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, le dgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objecti ve medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Claimant has failed to pr ovide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that he has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which prevent him from performing any level of work for a period of 12 mont hs. The claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/ps—ychiatric evidence contained in—the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is—so severe that it w—ould prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive t o the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant's c omplaints of pain, while pr ofound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective—medical evidence c ontained in the file as it relates to

claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establis h that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Clai mant is dis qualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments.

It should be noted that claimant continues to smoke despite the fact that his doctor has told him to quit. Claimant is not in compliance with his treatment program.

If an individual fails to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore their ability to engage in a ubstantial activity without good cause there will not be a finding of disability.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(iv).

The department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable diperson or age 65 or older. BEM in Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistance benefits either

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The department of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Landis
Y. Lain
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: \_\_\_\_10/26/11

Date Mailed: 10/27/11

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### LYL/alc

CC:

