STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-34185

Issue No.: <u>2006</u>

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 20, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 20, 2011. The Cla imant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by Supervisor, and ES.

ISSUE

Was the D epartment correct in closing Claimant's Adult M edicaid Program (AMP) due to refusal to cooperate with the Department?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP and AMP recipient.
- 2. The Department issued to Claimant a Redetermination Telephone Interview appointment for FAP and AMP, which Claimant did not receive.
- 3. The Department issued a Notice of Missed Interview for FAP only, and Claimant made an appointment with the case worker for February 24, 2011.
- 4. At the appointment of February 24, 2011 FAP only was discussed; AMP was not discussed.
- 5. Claimant was notified t hat his AMP was closed effective March 1, 2011 due to failure to verify information.

6. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the closure of his AMP case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 et seq. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM,) which includes the Reference Tables (RFT.)

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligib ility. BAM 105, 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use documents, collater all contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present case, although the Department appears to have issued a Redetermination Telephone Interview for both Claimant's FAP and AMP cases. Claimant testified cre dibly that he did not r eceive the notice. Claimant did receive the Notice of Missed Interview for the FAP case and made an appointment with the cas e worker. At the appointment, the worker di d not mention Claim ant's AMP case. The worker at hearing stated that the Notice of Missed Interview regarding AM P was issued from Lansing, so perhaps she was unawar e of that notice as well, and that is why AMP was not discussed at the interview. More over, the Department di d not present into evidence a Notice of Missed Interview for the AMP case. It is likely that since Claimant was more than willing to att end the interview regarding FAP, he was also willing to attend an interview r egarding AMP, had he been aware of the need to make an appointment for AMP. Based on the above discussion, I cannot find that Claiman t refused to cooperate with the Department, so the Department's decisio n to close Claimant's AMP case due to refusal to cooperate was incorrect.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in its decision to close Claimant's AMP case and it is therefore ORDE RED that the Department's decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall reinstate Claimant's AMP c ase effective March 1, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.

Susan Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/23/11

Date Mailed: <u>6/23/11</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

