#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:

Reg. No.: 2011-34009

Issue No.: 2003

Case No.:

Hearing Date:

June 29, 2011

Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 29, 2011. The claimant appeared and testified.

JET Case Manager, appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department or DHS.)

## ISSUE

Was the Department correct in its decision to close Claimant's MA case due to Claimant no longer being a caretaker of a minor child?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing MA recipient.
- 2. Claimant's son turned on November 28, 2010.
- Claimant's son is a full-time student in high school.
- 4. The Department closed Claimant's MA case on March 4, 2011, due to Claimant no longer being a caretaker of a minor child.
- 5. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the closure of her MA case.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105. Department polic ies are found in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

BEM 135, p. 3 states that to be eligible for MA as a Group 2 Caretaker Relative, the client's child must be under age 18 or he must be age 18 and a full-time student in a high school and be expected to complete his educational or training program before age 19.

In the present case, Claimant testified that her son tur ned eighteen years of age on November 28, 2010. Claimant's son is a full-time high sc hool student who has completed The Department did not present evidence that Claimant's son would not complete his high school education by the age of the Department was not correct in its decision to close Claimant's MA case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in its decision to close Claimant's MA case. It is therefore ORDERED that the Department shall reinstate Claimant's MA case if Claimant is otherwise eligible.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Ansa C. Bruke

Date Signed: 7/1/11

Date Mailed: 7/1/11

#### 201134009/SCB

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### SB/sm

