STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:

Reg. No.: 2011-34008

Issue No.: 2000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 29, 2011

Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on June 29, 2011.

ES, appeared on beh alf of the Department of Human Services (DHS or Department.)

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application due to refusal to cooperate with the Department?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA on August 5, 2010.
- The Department denied Claimant's application.
- Claimant requested a hearing.
- 4. At the hearing, the Department agreed to reinstate and reprocess Claimant's MA application of August 5, 2010.
- 5. As a result of the agreement, Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) stated that Claimant no longer requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Department provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case the Department has agreed to rei nstate and reprocess Claimant's MA applic ation of August 5, 2010. As a result of this agreement, Claimant's AHR indicated Claimant no longer wis hed to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Depar tment and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefor e, it is ORDERED that the Department reinstate and reprocess Claimant's MA applicat ion of August 5, 20 10, in accordanc e with the settlement agreement.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: 7/1/11

Date Mailed: 7/1/11

201134008/SCB

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

