STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 4 00.37; MSA 16.437 u pon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice a 3 way telephone hearing was held on June 8, 2011. The Claimant appeared a nd testified.

Claimant. FIM appeared and testified on behalf of the department.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly impose a medical d eductible upon t he Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) for the Claimant and her spouse?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 The Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Medical Assistanc e. The Agency erroneously sent the Claimant a notice that she was subject to a deductible amount of \$418 effective April 1, 2011. This occurred as a result of Agency error.

- 2. The Department sent a new Notice of Case action to the Claima nt to the wrong address effecti ve August 1, 2010 making the Claimant eligible for Transitional Medical Assistance with no deductible. The Claimant did not receive the Notice and continued to believe that she only had Medical Assistance subject to the \$418 spend down. Exhibit 2.
- 3. At the hearing the D epartment acknowledged the error and pri or to the hearing corrected the Claim ant's Medical Assistanc e to full Medicaid Coverage retroactive to the date t he deductible was erroneous ly imposed upon the Claimant. Exhibit 1.
- 4. At the hearing the Department stipulated that it would assist the Claimant and reimburse all medical expens es that have not been paid and that are outstanding as of April 1, 2010 by way of a 12 month billing exception.
- 5. The Claimant reques ted that the Department compensate her for the health s etbacks caused to her husband resulting fr om the Claimant's financial inability to procure prescriptions for her husband's heart condition because s he believed that full m edical coverage had been denied the Claimant and her husband by the Department.
- 6. The Claimant indicat ed that the food assistance is sued raised by the hearing request has been resolved and that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing on that issue.
- 7. The Claimant reques ted a hearing on April 19, 2010 protesting the imposition of a Medical Assistance Spend down amount and the reduction of her Food Assistance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program purs—uant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the instant case, the Department acknowledge it is error in handing the Claimant's case improperly and erroneously imposing a Medical spend down amount on

2011-33417/LMF

the Claimant's Medic al Assistance benefits. The Department ultimately corrected the

error, but the partial correction reinstat ing ben efits as of Au gust 1, 2010 was

received by the Claimant because she had moved and the Department did not send the

Notice to the Claimant's correct address.

Because t he Department corrected it s error and has agreed to assis t the

Claimant in obtaining payment of any outstanding medica I bill's retroactive to April 1,

grant a 12 month billing exc eption so t hat any outstanding 2010 and will seek and

medical bills can be paid, the Department has complied with policy and corrected its

error. Given this situation, there is nothing further for this Administrative Law Judge to

grant by way of relief as a result of the Claimant's hearing request. The Undersigned is

not unsympathetic to the Claimant's distress over the issue; however there is no further

relief that can be granted.

Based upon the foregoing, the Department's correction of the Claimant's medical

coverage with no spend down amount retroactive to April 1, 2010 is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and

conclusions of law, AFFIRMS the Department's decision in the instant case.

Lvnn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 14, 2011

Date Mailed: June 14, 2011

3

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

