### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: 201131867 3008

June 8, 2011 Wayne County DHS (15)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 8, 2011. The claimant appeared but did not testify; appeared and testified on behalf of Claimant as her authorized hearing representative. On behalf of Department of H uman Services (DHS), Manager, appeared and testified.

#### **ISSUE**

Whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) benefits based on an alleged failure to verify income.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 2/23/11, Claimant applied for FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. Claimant's app lication re ferred to employment involving paper shredding and indicated a last pay date of 12/27/10 for the employment.
- On an uns pecified subsequent date, DHS mailed a Verificati on Checklist (VCL) requesting employment income verificati on for the paper shredding employ ment for the period of 1/25/11-2/23/11.
- 4. In response, Claimant only submitt ed one check stub from a date prio r to 1/25/11.

- 5. Claimant's AHR state d that Claimant did not receive any check stubs from the period of 1/25/11-2/23/11.
- 6. Based on the alleged failure to v erify income from 1/25/11-2/23/11, DHS denied Claimant's application for FAP and MA benefits.
- 7. On 3/25/11, Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to dispute the DHS denial of FAP and MA benefits.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). DHS (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400. 10, *et seq.*, and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001-3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Updates to DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Policy Bulletin (BPB).

The undersigned will refer to the DHS regulations in effect as of 3/2011, the estimated month of the DHS deci sion which Claimant is disputing. Current DHS manuals may be found online at the following URL: <u>http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/</u>.

For FAP benefits, DHS is to s end a negative action notice when the client in dicates a refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130 at 5. The primary issue in this cas e involves whether DHS properly determined that Claimant failed to comply with a VCL request for income verification, specifically income received between 1/25/11-2/23/11.

Claimant's AHR clarified that Claimant did not receive employment in come from 1/25/11-2/23/11; thus, Claimant's AHR contended Claimant could not submit verification of income that did not ex ist. DHS responded that ev en if Claimant's statement was accurate, DHS had no way to know that Claimant received n o income from 1/25/11-2/23/11; thus, DHS did not err in denying Claimant's application.

It was establis hed that Claiman t's application stated that the last pay date for the shredding employment was 12/27/10. Considering the application was submitted to DHS on 2/23/11, that would create an a pproximate two month lapse between the application date and Claimant's last pay date. The undersigned interprets the two month time difference as notice to DHS that Claimant had no pays within the requested timeframe. DHS responded that Claimant veri fied receiving a pay after 12/27/10 (but

201131867/CG

before 1/25/11) however the undersigned sees no reason why t hat fact would c hange whether DHS had notice that Claimant had no pays between 1/25/11-2/23/11. It is found that DHS improperly denied Claimant's application for MA and FAP benefits.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that DHS im properly denied Claimant 's application for FAP and MA benefits. It is ordered that DHS:

- reinstate Claimant's application dated 2/23/11;
- request any verification of Claimant's paper shredding employ ment income, if needed, in compliance with DHS regulations; and
- supplement Claimant for any benefits not re ceived as a result of the improper denial.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christian Gardocki

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 14, 2011

Date Mailed: June 14, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for r ehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

CG/ ctl

# 201131867/CG

cc: Wayne County DHS (15)/1843

Christian Gardocki Administrative Hearings