STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: 2011-31840 1038

June 20, 2011 Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on June 20, 2011. Claim ant appeared and testified. appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department or DHS).

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Cla imant's Family Independence Program (FIP) application due to noncompliance with employment and/or work-related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for FIP on January 21, 2011.
- 2. The Department issued to Claimant a JE T Appoint ment Notice for a date of February 28, 2011.
- 3. Claimant and her husband attended the February 28, 2011 appointment, but JET personnel told Claimant t hat she was not on the computer, so she was not allowed into the JET program.
- 4. The Department worker then iss ued anot her appointment notice for March 7, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.
- 5. Claimant received the appointment notice for March 7, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. on March 7, 2011 at 3:00 p.m. in the mail.

2011-31840/SB

- The Department denied Clai mant's application due t o failure to attend the JET program.
- 7. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the denial of FIP.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FIP was e stablished pursuant to the Pers onal Resp onsibility a nd Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Program Reference Manual.

The Depar tment requires clients to participate in employment and s elf-sufficiencyrelated activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. All Work Eligible Indiv iduals (WEIs) are required to participate in the development of a Family Self-Sufficiency Pla n (F SSP) u nless good c ause e xists. BEM 228. As а condition of eligibility, all WEIs must enga ge in employment and/ or self-sufficiencyrelated activities. BEM 233A. The WEI is consid ered non-compliant for failing o r refusing to appear and participate with the JET Program or othe r employment service provider. BEM 233A. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or s elf-sufficiency-related activities that are bas ed on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 2 33A. The first and second occ urrences of non-compliance result in a th ree-month FIP closure. BE M 233A. The third occurrence results in a twelve-month sanction. The goal of The FIP penalty policy is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A.

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) which must include the date(s) of the noncompliance; the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A. In addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

In the present case, Claimant testified credibly that s he and her husband attempted to attend the first appointment of February 28, 2011, but the JET office told Claimant she was not on their computer, so she was prevent ed from attending t he JET appointment. The Department issued another appointment notice for Claimant for March 7, 2011 at 9:00 a.m., whic h she received on March 7, 2011 at 3:00 p.m. Based on the abov e discussion, I find that Claimant had good cause, that is, a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/ or self-sufficiency-related activities that is based

2011-31840/SB

on factors that are beyond the control of the noncomp liant person. Therefore, the Department was incor rect in denying Claim ant's FIP applic ation due to noncomplianc e with employment and/or work-related activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the Department was incorrect in its decision to deny Claimant's FIP application of January 21, 2011, and it is t herefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDE RED that the Department shall reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FIP app lication of January 21, 2011, and, if Claimant meets all other eligibility factors, issue Claimant any missed or increased benefits in the form of a supplement.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/23/11

Date Mailed: 6/23/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

