STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	2011318
Issue No:	1038, 3008
Case No:	
Load No:	
Hearing Da	te:
October 28,	2010
Kent County DHS	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request for r a hearing was r eceived on August 11, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 28, 2010.

ISSUES

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly san ctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance wit h the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program?

Whether the Department proper ly terminated the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) for failure to provide the Departm ent with information necessary to determine eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant received FIP benefits until September 1, 2010.
- 2. The Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient until September 1, 2010.
- 3. The Department referred the Claimant to the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits on April 27, 2010.

- 4. The Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program when she falsified her job search logs during the week of June 24, 2010.
- 5. On July 21, 2010, the Claimant reported to the Department that she had obtained new employment.
- 6. On July 22, 2010, the Department sent the Claimant a Verification Checklist with a due date of August 2, 2010.
- 7. The Department conducted a triage meeting on July 26, 2010. The Claimant participated in the triage meeting by telephone.
- 8. On August 5, 2010, the De partment notified the Claimant that it would terminate her FIP benefits as of September 1, 2010, for noncomplianc e with the JET program.
- 9. On August 5, 2010, the De partment notified the Claimant that it would terminate her FAP benefits as of September 1, 2010, for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine eligibility.
- 10. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on August 11, 2010, protesting the termination of her FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, P ublic Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware t hat public as sistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS w hen the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) progr am requirements, education and training opportunities, and as sessments will be c overed by t he JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. PEM 229, p. 1.

Federal and State laws require each work eligib le individual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Educati on and T raining (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporar ily deferred or engaged in activities that

meet participation requirements. These c lients must participate in employm ent and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to incr ease their employabilit y and obtain stab le employment. JET is a program administer ed by the Michigan D epartment of Labor and Economic Growth (D LEG) through the Mi chigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skille d workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide ec onomic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in as signed em ployment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. PEM 230A, p. 1.

Noncompliance of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
 - Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
 - Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
 - Develop a Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (F SSP) or a Personal Respons ibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
 - Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
 - Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
 - Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
 - Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
 - Accept a job referral.
 - Complete a job application.
 - Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.

- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behav ing disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or s elfsufficiency-related activity. PEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

The Department is required to send a DHS -2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within noncompliance which must in clude the date of noncompliance e, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. PEM 233A, p. 9

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause , and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. PEM 233A, p. 4, 5

Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good c ause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to that have not been diagnosed or ident accommodation. PEM 233A, p. 9

The penalty for noncomplianc e without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar mont hs unless the client is excused from the noncomplianc e as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occur rence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.
- The penalty counter also begi ns April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous num ber of noncompliance penalties. PEM, Item 233A.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment r equirements for FIP/RAP(SEE PEM 233A) may affect FAP if both progr ams were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. PEM 233b, p. 1 The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

- The client was active bot h FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and
- The client did not comply wit h FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is s ubject to a penalty on the FIP/RA P program, and
- \circ The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and
- The c lient did not have good c ause for the noncompliance. PEM 233B, p.2

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corres ponds with the FIP penalty (e ither three months for the first two noncomplianc es or 12 months for the third and subseq uent noncompliances) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last F IP grant amount is the grant amount the client received immediat ely before the FIP case closed.

The Claimant was on ongoing FIP rec ipient until September 1, 2010, and th е Department had referred her to the JET program. The Claimant acknowledged the JET program requirement s on April 27, 2010. The Claimant was required to submit documentation that she was s eeking employment in order to remain compliant with the JET program. During the week of June 24, 2010, the Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program when she submitted falsified job search logs. This was discovered when the Department conducted a routine audit of the Claimant's job search logs. The Department discovered that two of the business's on the CI aimant's job search logs were not accepting applic ations, and no ev idence that the Claimant had s ubmitted an application to these business es was avail able during the hearing. The Department 2010, where the Claim ant was given the conducted a triage meeting on August 5, opportunity to establish good cause for her noncompliance with the JET program. The Department did not find good cause and sanctioned her FIP benefits as of September 1, 2010.

The Department has established that the Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program when she falsified her job search logs for the week of June 24, 2010. The Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good caus e for her

noncompliance is reasonable, and the Department has est ablished that it properly sanctioned the Claimant's JET case for noncompliance with the JET program.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerl y known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, and is implem ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. BAM 105, p. 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130, p. 1. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is r equired by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. BAM 130, p. 1. The Department uses docum ents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. BAM 130, p. 1. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130, p. 2. When documentation is not available, or clarific ation is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130.

The Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient until Sept ember 1, 2010. The Claimant had report ed to the Department that she had obtain ed new employment on July 21, 2010. On July 22, 2010, the Department sent the Claimant a Verification Checklist with a due dat e of August 2, 2010. The Department ent had requested verification of the Claimant's income. On August 5, 2010, the Department had not received verification of the Claimant's income. No evidence was presented at the hearin g that the Claimant requested assistance obtaining the inc ome verification, or an extension to the Verification Checklist deadline. On A ugust 5, 2010, the Depart tment notified the Claimant that it would termi nate her F AP benefits f or failure t o provide information necessary to determine eligibility to receive benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the D epartment acted in accordance with policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program.

The Department's FIP sanction is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the Depa rtment acted in accordance with policy when it terminated

the Claim ant's Food Ass istance Progr am (FAP) benefits for failure to provide information necessary to determine eligibility.

The Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

____/s/

Kevin

Scully Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>January 12, 2011</u>

Date Mailed: <u>January 12, 2011</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/alc

CC:

