# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

4013

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2011-31184

Issue No.:

Hearing Date: June 6, 2011 DHS County: Macomb (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16409 and MCL 40037; M SA 16.437 upon the Claimants request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on J une 6, 2011 in Detroit, Michigan. The Claimant appeared and testified.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (Department) properly deny the Claimant's State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 10, 2011, the Claimant applied for SDA.
- 2. On March 2, 2011, the Claimant filed a request for a hearing.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known a sthe Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

BEM 518 spells out the factors in budgeting for SDA in this in stance. In part BEM 518 says:

### **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

# FIP and SDA Only

Financial need must exist to receive benefits. Financial need exists when the eligible group passes both the "Deficit Test" and the "Child Support Income Test." To perform the deficit test, subtract the program group's budgetable income from the eligible group's payment standard (BEM 515) for the benefit month. To meet the child support income test, the FIP group's countable income plus the amount of certified support (or amount of s upport to be certified) must be less than the elig ible group's payment standard. (BEM 518, p.1)

Here, the Claimant's allowed income is \$269.00. (RFT 225). There is a question as to whether the Claimant has any income at all.

The Department cited documentation that the Claimant had been denied by the Medical Review T eam (MR T). No such documentation was provided. There is no documentation that the Depart ment notified the Claimant of the status of his SDA application.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department did not meet the burden of providing any pertinent evidence as to the Department's disposition of the Claimant's SDA application.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, REVERSES AND ORDERS the Dep artment to reregister the Claimant's February 10, 2011, SDA application.

Michael Administrative for

Department

J. Bennane Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: August 3, 2011

Date Mailed: August 3, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's moiton where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## MJB/cl

