# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:



Reg. No.: 201131130

Issue No.: 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 23, 2011

Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 23, 2011. The Claimant was present and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by ES.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in its dete rmination of Claimant's Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) benefits?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP.
- 2. The Depar tment determined that Claim ant was entitled to \$223.00 in F AP benefits.
- 3. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the amount of FAP benefits.
- 4. At the hearing, the Depar tment agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011 and ongo ing, based on actual income. As a result of the agreement, Claimant indic ated that he no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

2 201131130/SCB

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is est ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in BAM, BEM and PRM.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Dep artment provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Enforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case the Department has agreed to re-determine Cl aimant's FAP benefits, effecti ve April 1, 2011 and ongoing, based on actual income. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated he no longer wished to proce ed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Departm ent have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Ju dge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED that the Department redetermine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011 and ongoing, based on actual income. It is further ORDERED that any missed or increased payments will be issued in the form of a supplement.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 31, 2011

Date Mailed: May 31, 2011

3 201131130/SCB

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/ hw

