

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 201130676
Issue No: 1038
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: July 13, 2011
Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 13, 2011. The claimant appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did the department properly terminate and sanction the claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant applied for FIP benefits and was referred to the WF/JET program for participation. (Department Hearing Summary).
2. The claimant was found to be noncompliant with WF/JET activities and sent a notice of noncompliance (DHS 2444) on March 29, 2011 scheduling a triage for April 6, 2011. (Department Exhibit 2).
3. The claimant attended the triage and it was found that no good cause existed for her failure to comply with the WF/JET requirements.
4. After the triage, the claimant signed a first noncompliance letter (DHS 754) acknowledging her noncompliance with the WF/JET requirements and agreeing that she was noncompliant without good cause. (Department Exhibit 3).

5. The claimant agreed to re-engage the WF/JET program on April 7, 2011, in accordance with her first noncompliance letter. (Department Exhibit 3).
6. The claimant did re-engage the WF/JET program on April 7, 2011 and continued to comply with the program.
7. The claimant was supposed to bring the original copy of the DHS 754 to the JET office on April 15, 2011 for signature by the WF/JET worker. (Department Exhibit).
8. The claimant did go to the JET office on April 15, 2011 but did not bring the DHS 754. (Department Exhibit 1)
9. The claimant was told to return to the JET office and bring the 754 by the close of business on April 15, 2011. (Department Exhibit 1).
10. The claimant did not provide her JET worker with the DHS 754 on April 15, 2011.
11. As a result of not receiving the DHS 754, the claimant was sent a notice of case action (DHS 1605) on April 18, 2011 indicating that her FIP case would be closing due to her first instance on noncompliance. (Department Exhibit 5).
12. The claimant submitted a hearing request on April 19, 2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility for benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department provides an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine its appropriateness. BAM 600.

The regulations that govern the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are contained in the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code) Rules 400.901 through 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to a recipient who is aggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Mich Admin Code 400.903(1).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC)

program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by the department when the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and assessments are covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. BEM 229.

Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP and Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Energy, Labor and Economic Growth (DELEG) through the Michigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A.

Noncompliance is defined by department policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and participating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing job applications, participating in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, providing legitimate documentation of work participation, etc. BEM 233A.

Department policy states:

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- . Failing or refusing to:
 - .. Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.

- .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- .. Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
- .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
- .. Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- .. Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- .. Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
- .. Accept a job referral.
- .. Complete a job application.
- .. Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- . Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- . Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- . Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. BEM 233A. Department policy defines good cause as follows:

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients.

Good cause includes the following:

- . The person is working at least 40 hours per week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.
- . The client is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical evidence or other reliable information. This includes any disability-related limitations that preclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance.

Illness or Injury

The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or an immediate family member's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client.

Reasonable Accommodation

The DHS, employment services provider, contractor, agency, or employer failed to make reasonable accommodations for the client's disability or the client's needs related to the disability. BEM 233A, pp. 3-4.

No Child Care

The client requested Child Day Care Services (CDC) from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure for noncompliance and CDC is needed for a CDC-eligible child, but none is appropriate, suitable, affordable and within reasonable distance of the client's home or work site.

- . **Appropriate.** The care is appropriate to the child's age, disabilities and other conditions.

- . **Reasonable distance.** The total commuting time to and from work and child care facilities does not exceed three hours per day.
- . **Suitable provider.** The provider meets applicable state and local standards. Also, providers (e.g., relatives) who are NOT registered/licensed by the DHS Office of Child and Adult Services must meet DHS enrollment requirements for day care aides or relative care providers. See BEM 704.
- . **Affordable.** The child care is provided at the rate of payment or reimbursement offered by DHS.

No Transportation

The client requested transportation services from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure and reasonably priced transportation is not available to the client.

Illegal Activities

The employment involves illegal activities.

Discrimination

The client experiences discrimination on the basis of age, race, disability, gender, color, national origin, religious beliefs, etc. BEM 233A, p. 4.

Unplanned Event or Factor

Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which likely prevents or significantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplanned events or factors include, but are not limited to the following:

- . Domestic violence.
- . Health or safety risk.
- . Religion.
- . Homelessness.
- . Jail.
- . Hospitalization.

Comparable Work

The client quits to assume employment comparable in salary and hours. The new hiring must occur before the quit.

Long Commute

Total commuting time exceeds:

- . Two hours per day, NOT including time to and from child care facilities, **or**
- . Three hours per day, including time to and from child care facilities. BEM 233A, pp.4-5.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a “triage” meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. The department coordinates the process to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

The department is required to send a DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learning of the noncompliance which must include the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

Clients can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, the client is offered a telephone conference at that time. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A.

If the department finds that the client has been noncompliant without good cause, the department must impose penalties. Department policy clearly states the penalties that must be imposed for noncompliance without good cause and for the action to be taken should the department determine that good cause has been established:

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- . For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in “First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits” below.
- . For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- . For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- . The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties. BEM 233A, pp. 6.

Good Cause Established

If the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, do **NOT** impose a penalty. See “Good Cause for Noncompliance” earlier in this item. Send the client back to JET, if applicable, after resolving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. Do not enter a new referral on ASSIST. Enter the good cause reason on the DHS-71 and on the FSSP under the “Participation and Compliance” tab.

Good Cause NOT Established

If the client does NOT provide a good cause reason within the negative action period, determine good cause based on the best information available. If no good cause exists, allow the case to close. If good cause is determined to exist, delete the negative action. BEM 233A, pp. 10-11.

If it is the claimant’s first instance of noncompliance, the claimant is allowed to admit to the noncompliance and re-engage with the program activities without sanction by signing a DHS 754. BEM 233A. Department policy states as follows:

**First Case
Noncompliance
Without Loss of
Benefits**

If the noncompliant client meets or if a phone triage is held with a FIS and/or the JET case manager and the decision regarding the noncompliance is *No Good Cause*", do the following:

1. Discuss and provide a DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, regarding sanctions that will be imposed if the client continues to be noncompliant.
2. Offer the client the opportunity to comply with the FSSP by the due date on the DHS-754 and within the negative action period.
3. Advise the client that the instance of noncompliance will remain on record even if the client complies. The noncompliance will be excused, but not erased and could result in longer sanctions if the client is noncompliant in the future.
4. If the client **accepts** the offer to comply and agrees with the department's decision of noncompliance without good cause, use the first check box on the DHS-754 and document compliance activities. Include the number of hours of participation the client must perform to meet the compliance activity requirement. Advise the client that verification of the compliance is required by the due date on the DHS-754.
5. When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754 as you would complete for an in-person triage meeting. When completing the form note in the client signature box *Client Agreed by Phone*. Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET and the JET case manager was not included in the phone triage.
6. Enter the outcome of the *Excuse Offer* in Bridges.
7. When the client verifies compliance before the *Excuse Offer* due date and is meeting the assigned activity that corrects the noncompliance, reinstate the case with no loss of benefits.
Note: Verification of completion of a compliance activity may include a signed copy of the DHS-754 or any collateral contact with JET or other service provider.
8. If the client **does not accept** the offer to comply, document the

decision in Bridges

9. If the client **disagrees** with the department's decision of noncompliance without good cause, use the second check box on the DHS-754 that advises the client not to sign the form. Assist the client with filing a hearing request and advise them that if they lose the hearing, they will receive a new notice of noncompliance and a new meeting date and they have the right to agree to activities outlined on the DHS-754 and avoid the financial penalty at that time, unless another group member uses the family's first excuse before the hearing issue is settled.

10. Send a new DHS-2444 with an appointment date and time. The client must attend the triage and comply with assigned activities before the 754 due date.

11. You must enter all triage results at one time in Bridges. If the client fails to meet or contact the FIS or fails to provide verification of compliance without good cause, the three-month sanction applies. This policy only applies for the first case of noncompliance on or after April 1, 2007. It is only offered one time for each case for the first noncompliant member on that case when there is no good cause. BEM 233A

In the case at hand, the claimant's initial noncompliance is not disputed. The claimant took responsibility for the noncompliance, agreed that she did not have good cause, and signed the DHS 754. It is also not disputed that after the DHS 754 was signed, the claimant re-engaged and continued to be otherwise compliant with the program, see Department Exhibit 8 (time sheets for claimant). The issue of noncompliance is that the DHS 754 was not returned to the department by April 15, 2011.

The claimant testified that she went to the JET office the morning of April 15 but that she had forgotten to bring back the DHS 754. She was told to return home, obtain the form, and return it by the close of business that same day. The claimant further testified that she returned home and was interrupted by an issue that arose at her daughter's school, which delayed her return to the JET office. She stated that she did return with the DHS 754 around 4:00PM on April 15, but that her JET worker (who needed to sign the form) was no longer at the office. She further stated that she returned to the JET office the following Monday (April 18, 2011) and was told that her case had already been closed and sent back to DHS.

This Administrative Law Judge credits the testimony offered by the claimant that she attempted to return to the JET office but found that her worker was gone and could not have her form signed. Her testimony pertaining to her hours completed and the dates of attendance at the JET office is corroborated by documentation provided by the department. The information contained in Department Exhibit 8 shows that the claimant was compliant in her hourly requirements for the WF/JET program. Therefore, because

the testimony offered by the claimant that she attempted to turn in her DHS 754 is credible, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant did comply with the requirements of the DHS 754 and that the department did not receive the original copy of the DHS 754 is not due to fault on behalf of the claimant.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the claimant complied with the requirements of the DHS 754 and was therefore in compliance with the WF/JET program.

Accordingly, the department's actions are **REVERSED**.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the department shall consider the DHS 754 requirements met, re-engage the claimant in the WF/JET program, and shall grant any retroactive benefits to the claimant that she may be entitled to.

/s/

Christopher S. Saunders
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 28, 2011

Date Mailed: July 29, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CSS/cr

cc:

