STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Issue Case

Reg No: 2011 30627

No: 2018

No:

Hearing Date: June 6, 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conduced ted from Detroit, Michigan on June 6, 2011. The Claimant appeared and test ified. The Department's representatives, and ES appeared and testified on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Whether the Notice of Case Action co mplied with required reasons for the action taken by the Department.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant's son was an ongoing recipient of Medical Assistance (MA)(MI healthy kids).
- A Bridges system error was made on January 22, 2011 when the Bridges system incorrectly sent a notice of case act ion finding that the Claimant's son was a ubject to a spend down in the amount of \$1801 effective February 1, 2011. Exhibit 1.

- 3. The Claimant called the Depa rtment on F ebruary 28, 2011 when she discovered the problem as her pharmacist determined that her son's medical assistance coverage was not active.
- 4. The Claimant's caseworker spoke to the Claimant on March 1, 2011 and advised that she would look into the problem and get back with the Claimant. The Department corrected the error on March 9, 2011 and there was no lapse in coverage. Exhibit 2.
- 5. Unfortunately, due to the Bridges system error, the Claimant had to inc ur prescription expenses necessary for her son as no coverage was available.
- 6. The Claimant requested a hearing on February 22, 2011 protesting that he was not eligible for Medical Assistance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is es tablished by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations ('CFR"). The Department of Human Services, form ally known as the Family Independenc e Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("PRM").

In this case, the record reveals that the Cla imant incurr ed medical expenses which should have been covered by the medical as sistance available to her son but were denied when an error caused a notice of case action to be issued which caused the Claimant's son to have an \$1801 deductible. Unfortunately, the Claimant incurred medical expense when she should not have had to as a result of the error. The Department did fix the error shortly after March 9, 2011 and reinstated full coverage with no deductible and no lapse in coverage. Unfortunately, there is no remedy for this

2011-30627/LMF

situation as the Department did fix the error and cannot reimburse medical expenses

that have been already paid.

Based upon the foregoing facts and circum stances, the claimant has had the

medical coverage for her son restored and there is no further action which is required or

can be taken to reimburse the Claimant for medical expenses she in curred. Therefore

the Department's correction of the problem is affirmed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and

conclusions of law, determines that the De partment has corrected the incor rect Notice

of Case Action dated January 22, 2011 and effectuated full reinstatement of the

Claimant's son's m edical ass istance wit h no la pse in c overage and thus the

Department's action is AFFIRMED.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative for

Department

Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director

of Human Services

Date Signed: June 14, 2011

Date Mailed: June 14, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be

implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

3

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.



