STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	2011-30521
Issue No:	2009

Hearing Date: July 28, 2011 Monroe County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received on April 22, 2011. After due notice, a telephone hearing was hel d on July 28, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (the department) properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On March 15, 2011, and March 29, 2011, Claimant applied f or MA-P benefits.
- (2) On April 8, 2011, the Medical Re view Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA stating Claimant 's non-severe impairment lacks the duration of 12 months pursuant to 20 CFR 416.909.
- (3) On April 8, 2011, the department ca seworker mailed Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On April 22, 2011, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action. (Request for a Hearing).

- (5) On May 13, 2011, the State Hear ing Review Team (SHRT) upheld the denial of MA-P benefits stating Claim ant should not be limited from all types of work for 12 months in a row. (Department Exhibit B, page 1).
- (6) Claimant has a history of a cerebr al aneurysm, arthritis in lef t knee, hypertension, and glaucoma.
- (7) On Claimant arrived by ambulance to the emergency room with complaint s of the wors t headache of her life followe d by nausea, but no vomiting. She was admitted to the hospit al for a sector of the underwent a lumbar puncture (spinal tap) which did not reveal subarachnoid hem orrhage. (Department E xhibit A, pages 5-7, 10-15, 83-93).
- (8) On the cerebral angiogram completed on Claimant did not reveal a (Department Exhibit A, pages 5-7, 16-20, 83).
- (9) On Claimant underwent surgery. Pre-op diagnos is was intracerebral hemorrhage. Post -op diagnosis was a right middle cerebral artery (MCA) aneurysm. A ri ght frontotemporal craniotomy was performed with a clipping of right MC A aneurysm. (Department Exhibit A, pages 5-7, 21-33, 45-84).
- (10) On Claimant was disc harged from the hospital and prescribed Alprazola m, Oxycod one, Dilantin, Senoko t, and Tylenol with instructions not to drive for 3-4 wee ks. (Department E xhibit A, pages 5-7, 34-44).
- (11) On Claimant reported to the clinic for staple and steri-strip removal and wound c heck following sur gery. Claimant is ambulat ing on her own. She has a steady gait. Cla imant rates her pain as 5 on a 1/10 scale. Claimant was instructed to cont inue walking as much as tolerated, gradually increasing the distanc e and amount to aid in he aling. Claimant denied swelling, redness or tenderness at either c alf. Claim ant was reminded of her restrictions not to drive, no lifting over 10 pounds, or bending, no submersion of wound and t o check the wound daily for drainage and infection. (Department Exhibit A, pages 8-9).
- (12) Claimant is a 55 year old wom an whos e birthday is Claimant is 5'6" tall and we ighs 175 lb s. Cl aimant is a high schoo graduate.
- (13) Claimant has worked as a cleric al assistant and cashier, stocked shelves and as an auditor at various companies over the past 10 years. She als o worked for one year as a machine operator. She last worked in 2010.

(14) Claimant had applied for Social Security disability and her application had been denied at the time of the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability, that being a five-step sequential evaluation process for determining whether an individual is disabled. (20 CFR 404.1520(a) and 416.920(a)). The steps are followed in order. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If it is determined that the claimant is or is not disabled at a step of the evaluation process, the evaluation will not go on to the next step.

At step one, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whethe r the claimant is . (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). engaging in substantial gainful activity Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and activity that involves doing signific gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work ant 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). physical or mental activities. (20 CFR "Gainful work activity" is work that is usually done for pay or profit, whether or not a profit is realized. (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416. 972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he/she has de monstrated the abilit v to engage in SG A. (20 CFR 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, he/she is not disabled regardles s of how severe his/ her physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his/her age, educa tion, and work experience. If the individual is n ot engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

At step two, the Admi nistrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that is "severe." (20 CFR 404.1520(c) and 416.920(c)). A n impairment or combination of

impairments is "sever e" within the meaning of the r egulations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have nom ore than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work. (20 CFR 404.1521 and 416.921; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p). If the claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he/she is not disabled. If the claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laborator y findings which demons trate a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a).

Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as th e results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing bas ic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include –

- (1) Physical functions such as wa lking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and

2011-30521/SLM

(6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidenc e relevant to the claim, including m edical opinions, is rev iewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416 .927(c). A statement by a m edical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

At step three, the Administrative Law Judg e must determine whet her the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Par t 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1. (20 CFR 4 04.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If t he claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medi cally equals the criter ia of a listing and meets the duration requirement , (20 CF R 404.1509 and 416.909), the claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering st ep four of the sequential evaluation pr ocess, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine the claimant's residual functional capacity. (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416. 920(e)). An in dividual's residual functional capacity is his/he r ability to do physic al and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of the claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered. (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, the Administrative La w Judge must determine at step four whether the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his/her past relevant work. (20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relev ant work means work performed (either as the claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the wo rk must have lasted long enough for the claimant to learn to do the job and hav e been SGA. (20 CF R 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the cl aimant has the residual f unctional capacity to do his/her past relevant work, the claimant is not disabled. If the claimant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation proc ess (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g)), the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is able to do any other work considering his/her r esidual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the claimant is able to do other work, he/she is not disabled. If the claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirements, he/she is disabled.

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

At Step 1, Claimant is not engaged in substantial gainf ul activity and testified that she has not worked sinc e 2010. T herefore, Claimant is not di squalified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, in considering Claimant's symptoms, whether t here is an underlying medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s)-i.e., an impairment(s) that can al and laboratory diagnostic techniques-that could reasonably be expected to produce Claimant's pain or other symptoms must be determined. Once an underlying physical or mental impairment(s) has been shown, the Administrative Law Judge must evaluate the intens ity, persistence, and limiting effects of Claimant's symptoms to determine the extent to which they limit Claimant's ability to do basic work activities. For this purpos persistence, or functionally limiting effects of pain or other symptoms are not substantiated by obj ective medical evid ence, a finding on the credibility of the statements based on a consideration of the entire case record must be made.

At Step 2, the objective medi cal evidence of record show s Claimant had a cerebral aneurysm requiring surgery and hospitalizat ion. The finding of a severe impairment at Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claiman t established that at all times relevant to this matter Claimant suffer ed side effects from the cerebral aneurysm which woul d affect her ability to do substantial gainful activity . Therefore, the analysis will continue to Step 3.

At Step 3 the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant's medical record will not support a finding that Claim ant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equ al to a listed impairment. Accordingly, Claimant cannot be found to be disabled bas ed upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

At Step 4, Claimant's past re levant employment was working as a c lerical assistant, cashier, stocking shelves and other miscellaneous duties for the past ten years. At Step 4, the objective medical ev idence of reco rd establishes that Cla imant has severe impairments that have lasted or are expected to last 12 months or more and prevent her

from performing the duties required from her past relevant employment for 12 months or more, specifically bending and lifting restrictions. Therefore, Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequentia evaluation process to determine whether or not Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform other jobs.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, lig ht, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more t han 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one whic h involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects we ighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weig hing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she c an also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that Claimant has the residual functional capacity to do substantial gainful activity. The residual functional capacity is what an indiv idual can do despite limitations . All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the nationa I economy. Phys ical demands , mental demands, sensor y requirements a nd other functions will be evaluated. See discussion at Step 2 above. Findings of Fact 14-17. In Claimant's case, the intensity, severity and chronicity of the pain and medication side-effects she describes is consistent with t he objective medical evidenc e presented. Claimant credibly testified t hat she has seizures, blurred vision, and headaches on the right side 5 to 8 tim es a week . In additi on, Claimant stated she still has lifting and

bending restrictions. Additionall y, Claimant is of advanc ed age; consequently, this Administrative Law Judge finds her condition is not likely to significantly improve beyond its current level (i.e., s he has reached Maximum Medical Improvement-MMI). As such, great weight must be given to Claimant's testimony in this regard.

After careful review of Claimant's medical record and the Administrative Law Judge's personal interaction with Claimant at the h earing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claim ant's exertional and non-exertional impairment s render Claimant unable to engage in a full range of even sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P. Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10, Wilson v Heckler, 743 F2d 216 (1986). The dep artment has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes that Claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity an d that, giv en Claimant's age, education and work experience, there are a significant num ber of jobs in the national economy which the Claim ant could perform despite Claim ant's limitatio ns. Acco rdingly, th is Administrative Law Judge concludes that Cla imant is disabled for purposes of the MA program. Consequently, the department's denial of her March 15, 2011 and March 29, 2011 MA/retro-MA applications cannot be upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides the department erred in determining Clai mant is not currently disabled for MA/retro-MA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REVERSED, and it is Ordered that:

1. The department shall process Claimant's March 15, 2011 MA/retro-MA application and shall award her all t he benefits she may be entitled to receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.

2011-30521/SLM

2. The department shall rev iew Cla imant's medica I cond ition for improvement in August, 2011, unless Claimant has received a favorable Social Security determination.

__/s/

Suzanne L. Morris Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>August 24, 2011</u>

Date Mailed: <u>August 24, 2011</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SLM/ac

CC:

