# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2011-30447

<u>Issue</u> No.: <u>2007</u>

Case No.:
Hearing Date: June 1, 2011
DHS County: Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; M SA 16.437 upon the Claimant's r equest for a hearing. After due notice a telephone hearing was held on June 1, 2011. The Claima nt appeared and testified.

#### ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (Department) properly deny the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for MA.
- 2. On January 5, 2011, the Department sent the Claimant a Medical Determination Verification Checklist with verifications due January 18, 2011.
- 3. On April 4, 2011, the Claimant filed a request for a hearing.
- 4. On April 18, 2011, the Department denied the Claimant's MA application.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are

found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

In the instant case, the De partment testified that the Claimant's MA application was denied for failure to supply the requested verifications in a timely manner.

The client must obtain require d verification, but you must assist if they need and request help.

If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If **no** evidence is available, use your best judgment. (BAM 130, p. 3)

In the instant case, the Claimant testif ied that she had been assigned several new workers and had attempted without success to contact the Department for questions and assistance.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department was not in compliance with the above policy.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, REVERSES and ORDERS the Department to reregister and process the Claimant's MA application.

Michael Administrative for

Tor Department J. Bennane Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: June 30, 2011

Date Mailed: June 30, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 2011-30447/MJB

# MJB/cl

