### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:



Reg No.: 201130385 Issue No.: 3000 Case No.: Load No.: Hearing Date: May 19, 2011 Wayne County DHS (31)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 19, 2011. The Claimant was present and testified. The Department of H uman Services (Depart ment) was represented by FIS.

#### **ISSUE**

Was the Department correct in denying Cla imant's F ood Assistance Program (FAP) application?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia l evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for FAP.
- 2. The Department denied Claimant's appl ication on April 15, 2011, due to exc ess income and ineligible group members.
- 3. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the denial.
- 4. At the hearing, the D epartment agreed to reinstate CI aimant's application with an effective date of J anuary 1, 2011 and r e-determine Claimant's FAP benefits effective January 1, 2011 and ongoing, based on actual income and group size.

2011-30385/SCB

As a result of the agr eement, Claimant indicated that she no lon ger wished to proceed with the hearing.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is est ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by t he federal regulations cont ained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). Th e Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in BAM, BEM and PRM.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Dep artment provides an Administrative Hearing t o review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. E fforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case the Department has agreed to reinstate Claimant's application with an effective date of January 1, 2011 and re-determine Clai mant's FAP benefits effective Ja nuary 1, 2011 and ongoing, based on act ual income an d group size. As a result of this agreement, Cla imant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the Cla imant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Adm inistrative Law Judge to make a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law decides that the D epartment and Claimant hav e come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDE RED that the Department reinstate Claimant's FAP application with an effect ive date of Januar y 1, 2011 and re-determine Claimant's FAP benefit s eff ective January 1, 2011 and ongoing, based on actual income and group size. It is further ORDERED that an y missed or increased payments shall be issued in the form of a supplement.

/s/\_\_\_\_

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services 2011-30385/SCB

Date Signed: May 25, 2011

Date Mailed: May 25, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will n ot order a rehearing o r reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/ctl

| CC: |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|
|     |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |