### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: 2011-29804 3000 June 14, 2011 Oakland County DHS

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 14, 2011. The Claim ant was present and represented by Claimant's daughter, was also present. The Department of Human Servic es (Department) was represented by FI Specialist, and FIM.

## **ISSUE**

Was the D epartment correct in i ts calculation of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia l evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP.
- 2. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the amount of benefits.
- 3. At the hearing, the Depar tment agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective January 1, 2011, and ongoing. As a result of the agreement, Claiman t indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is est ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in BAM, BEM and PRM.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Dep artment provides an Administrative Hearing t o review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. E fforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Department has agreed to re-determine Claimant's FAP benefits, effective January 1, 2011, and ongoing. As a re sult of this agreement, Claimant indicated s he no I onger wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department has come to an agreement it is unnecess ary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues regarding Claimant's FAP case.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law decides that the D epartment and Claimant hav e come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDE RED that the Department redetermine Claimant's FAP benef its, effective January 1, 2011, and ongoing, in accordance with this settlement. It is furt her ORDERED that any missed or increased payments shall be made in the form of a supplement.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/20/11

Date Mailed: 6/20/11

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

