### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: 201129573 1038 May 12, 2011 Wayne County DHS (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Ma y 12, 2011. The claimant appeared and testified; appeared and testified on behalf of Claiman t. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), Specialist, appeared and testified.

### ISSUE

Whether DHS properly terminated Claimant 's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits effective 5/2011 due to Claimant's alleged noncompliance with Jobs, Education and Training (JET) participation.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient.
- 2. Claimant and her child's father, were mandatory JET participants.
- 3. Claimant had a 35 hour/week JET attendance obligation.
- 4. had a 20 hour/week JET obligation.
- 5. Claimant stopped attending JET on 3/10/11.

- 6. during the week beginning 3/6/11 because of medical problems associated with a gun shot wound.
- 7. restarted his community service during the week beginning 3/27/11 and participated 16 hours that week and 8 hours the following week.
- 8. On an unspecified date, DHS scheduled a triage to be held on 4/6/11.
- 9. On 4/6/11, Claimant and representative.
- 10. DHS determined that Claimant and participation.
- 11. On an unspecified date in 4/2011, DHS terminated Claim ant's FIP benefits based on the alleged noncompliance with JET participation by Claimant and Mr.
- 12. On 4/12/11, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FIP benefit termination.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* DHS, formerly known as the Family I ndependence Agency, administers the FIP pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administ rative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

DHS requires clients to participat e in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A at 1. Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in a FIP gr oup to participate in Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employm ent-related activity unles s temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that m eet participation requirements. *Id.* These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. *Id.* 

JET is a program administer red by the Michigan Depart tment of Energy, Labor and Economic Growth through the Mi chigan Works! Agencies. *Id*. The JET program serves employers and job seekers for remployers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. *Id*. The WEI is considered non-

compliant for failing or refusing to appear an d participate with JET or other employment service provider. *Id* at 2.

The WEI is consider ed non-compliant for fa iling or refusing to appear an d participate with JET or other employ ment service provider. *Id* at 2. Note that DHS regulations do not objectively define, "failure or refusing to appear and participate with JET". Thus, it is left to interpretation how many hours of JET absence constitute a failure to participate.

DHS regulations provide some guidance on th is is sue elsewhere in their policy. A client's participation in an unp aid work activity may be interrupted by occasional illness or unavoidable event. BEM 230 at 22. A WEI's absence may be excused up to 16 hours in a month but no more than 80 hours in a 12-month period. *Id*.

The present case involves two JET partici pants, Claimant and her child's father, Mr. DHS ass erted that both member s were noncom pliant with their JET participation. The undersigned will examine the alleged nonc ompliance by Claimant and Maximum

It was not disputed that Cla imant participated in a JET approved externship through 3/9/11. According to DHS, fo llowing 3/9/11, Claimant was expected to return to JET on 3/10/11; DHS als o testified t hat Claimant did not return on the expected return date. DHS stated that a warn ing letter was mailed to Claim ant on 3/18/11 to which Claimant did not respond. Claimant did not deny the DHS allegations. It is found that Claimant's absence from JET beginning 3/10/11 through at least 3/18/11 is sufficient t o establish noncompliance.

It was not disputed that was absent for an unspecified three week period from sometime between 3/6/11 through 4/9/11. It is found that a three week period of absence is sufficient to establish a foundation of noncompliance.

Good cause is a v alid reas on for noncom pliance with employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. *Id* at 3. Good cause includes any of the following: employment for 40 hours/ week, physically or mentally unfit, illness or injury, reasonable accommodation, no child care, no transportati on, illeg al activities, discrimination, unplanned event or factor, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. *Id* at 4. A claim of good cause must be verified. *Id* at 3.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to join tly discuss noncompliance and good cause. *Id* at 7. In processing a FIP closure, DHS is required to send the client a notice of non-compliance (DHS-2444) which must include: the date of the non-compliance, the reason the client was determined to be non-compliant and the penalty duration *Id* at 8. In

addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. *Id.* If good caus e is asserted, a decision c oncerning good caus e is made during the triage and prior to the negative action effective date. *Id.* 

asserted that his absence from JET was based on medical issues relating to a gun s hot wound. DHS asse rted that failed to properly verify a basis for his abs ence but c onceded that verified a hospitalization r elated to a gun shot. DHS c laimed that further m edical docum entation was needed from Mr. and he failed to return the documentat ion. DHS failed to establis h that the needed documentation was request ed in writing. The undersig ned is inclined to find good cause for Claimant's absence based on his ve rification of his hospitalization. DHS doc umentation failed to verify good cause or failed to explain why required further verification of good cause. If DHS wanted more specific documentation, it would have been appropriate to make such a reque st in writing. As DHS failed to do so, the undersigned is not sympathetic to the DHS determination that lacked good cause.

DHS also claimed that performed community service in lie u of JET participation. The service during the week of 3/27/11-4/2/11 and 8 hours during the week 4/3/11-4/9/11. The sixteen hour week is only four hours short of his 20 hour weekly obligation. DHS held a triage on 4/6/11 and found no good cause e so it would not be improper for Claimant to stop performing community service if DHS alr eady det ermined that he lac ked good cause for noncompliance. It is found that the lac ked good cause for his JET abs ence and DHS improperly found him to be noncompliant.

The noncompliance with Claim ant is a separ ate issue. Claimant's only claim of good cause was a lack of transportation. Claimant te stified that she calle d JET on multiple occasions to request bus tickets to attend JET; Claimant stated s he never received a return phone call. Claimant also testified that she had no money to purchase bus tickets to attend JET. DHS responded that Claimant failed to make the above assertion during the triage on 4/2/11 which would tend to s how that a lack of transportation was not a sincere reason for Claimant's JET absence.

"No transportation" as it relates to good cause is specifically defined by DH S regulations. It requires that the client requested transportation s ervices from DHS, the MWA, or other employment se rvices provider prior to case closure and reasonably priced transportation is not available to the client. Even finding that Claimant's testimony was credible, the undersigned is inclined to find that Claim ant had reasonably priced transportation available and was not entitled to halt her JET participation until s he received a bus ticket from JET. Accordingly, it is found that Claimant was noncompliant with JET participation.

Failure to comply with JET participation r equirements without good cause results in FIP closure. *Id* at 6. The first and second oc currences of non-compliance results in a 3 month FIP closure. *Id*. The third occurrence results in a 12 month sanction. *Id*. It is found that DHS properly terminated Claimant's FIP benefits based on Cla imant's noncompliance with JET participation.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that DHS improper Iy found Cla imant's child's father, **and the second second** 

- remove any disqualification from finding of noncompliance;
- supplement Claimant for any benefits not re ceived as a result of the improper finding of noncompliance.

The actions taken by DHS are PARTIALLY REVERSED.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that DHS properly terminat ed Claimant's FIP benefit s effective 5/2011 based on Claimant's noncom pliance with J ET participation. The actions tak en by DHS are PARTIALLY AFFIRMED.

Christian Gardocki

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/27/11

Date Mailed: 5/27/11

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/CL

