STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2011-2885 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No:

Hearing Date: January 5, 2011 Mason County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on January 5, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P), retroactive Medical Assistance (retro MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On July 2, 2010, clai mant filed an app lication for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On Augus t 16, 2010, the Medi cal Rev iew Team denied c laimant's application stating that claimant could perform other work.
- (3) On August 17, 2010, the department ca seworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On October 7, 2010, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On November 9, 2010, the State Hearing Re view Team again denied claimant's application stat ing in its' analys is and dec ision: the objective medical evidence presented does not establish a disability at the listing or equivalence lev el. I n following t he sequ ential evaluation process, the

claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activit y. The claimant's impairment's do not meet or equal the int ent of a Social Secur ity listing. The claimant retains the capac ity to per form work of at least an unskilled medium level. Therefor e, MA-P is denied, per Vocational Rule 203.21. Retroactive MA-P was reviewed and denied. SDA denied per PEM 261.

- (6) Claimant is a 52-year-old man w hose birth date is Claimant is 6'1" tall and weighs 205 pounds. Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.
- (7) Claimant is currently working as a handy man/maintenance m an, snow remover. Cla imant testified that he is working 30-35 hours per week earning per hour. Claimant has also worked as a carpenter and in construction.
- (8) Claimant alleges as disabling im pairments: herniated disc, knee pain, back pain, sciatica, cut tendon in the right foot with li mited mobility and a right foot deformity, alcoholism from 20 years before the hearing, insomnia and a left foot drag as well as ligament damage to the knees.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impair ments do not signific antly limit physica I or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

... Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood press ure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on it's signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If

- yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is engaged in s ubstantial gainful ac tivity working bet ween 30-35 hours per week earning \$ per hour and earning \$ per month after expenses. Therefore, claimant is d isqualified from receiving disability at Step 1. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process for the sake of argument.

The subjective and objective medical ev idence on the record indicates that claimant is single and lives alone in a house and has no children under 18 who liv e with him . Claimant receives his income for self -employment and he does receive Fo od Assistance Program benefits. Claimant testified that he does have a driver's licens e and he drives 100 miles per day us ually doing his work. He drives to the grocery store and home and everyday to work. Claimant does cook everyday and cooks things lik hamburger and spaghetti and he does grocery shop 1 time per week with no help Claimant does clean his home by doing dishes and the laundry and he does a little grass cutting with a push mower and he does snow removal with a hand shovel 3 days per week. Claimant testified that he watches TV 2 hours per day. Claimant testified that he can stand for 3 hours, sit for 30 minutes, walk for a guarter of a mile, and squa t with pain. Claimant testified that he can bend at the wais t but he is in pain and his knees hurt because of ligament damage. Cla imant testified that he cannot stand straight and he needs knee replacement su rgery and is missing som Claimant testified that he can shower and dress himself, mostly tie his shoes and touch his toes most of the time. Claimant testified that his level of pain on a scale from 1-10 is a 9 without medication and with medication is an 8. Claimant is right handed and stated that his hands and arms are fine and his legs, feet and knees are injured. The heaviest

weight that claimant can carry is 40 pounds and 10 pounds on a repetit ive bas is. Claimant testified that he do es not smoke and stopped dr inking 20 years before the hearing and has never done drugs. Claim ant testified that in a typical day he gets up and has coffee, eats toast and does maintenanc e work and then eats lunch, works 2-3 hours and goes home and makes dinner, eats and tries to go to sleep.

An MRI of the lumbar spine without contrast indicates that the impr ession is that there are degenerative dis c and end plate changes in the lumbar s pine with slight boney narrowing of the left nerve exit foraminal 1-2 and L2-3, and there is also a small posterior midline dis c herniat ion at L4-5. There is a sm all t o moderate sized left posterior para median disc herni ation at L5-S1 which may irri tate the left fo ot nerve (p. 25). The lumbar spine alignment is within t he rage of normal. His spinal ch ords ended at the L1-2 level. There is degenerative s purring of the end plates, most prominent in the upper lumbar spine and the thoraco lumbar junction. There are degenerative signal changes in the discs from the lo w thoracic spine, throughout the lumbo sacral junction, with the exception of the grossly normal appearing L3-4 intervertebral disc. There is no evidence of acute vocal bone abnormalities. There are no findings suspicious for spinal canal stenosis (p. 22).

On April 28, 2010, claimant weighed 207 pounds and 6'1" tall. His blood pres sure was 126/90, heart rate was 80, res pirations were 40, reflexes were 2+ and equal in the patellar, and Achilles reflexes. Straight leg raise on the left to 80 degrees was positive. The MRI showed small posterior midline disc herniation at L4-L5. Small moderate sized view of disc herniation at L5- S1 which may irritate the root. The impression is lumb ar disc disease (p. 20).

The May 17, 2010, make sure s pine and pain report indicates that claimant weighed 208 pounds and the height is 6'1" tall with a blood pressu re of 124/80, heart rate 80 and respir ations 16. Reflexes are 2+ and equal in the upper and lo wer extremities. Cerebellar testing is negativ e. Straight leg r aise to 80 degrees is norm al. Neurosensory exam is normal (p. 19).

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establis hing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. in multiple areas of his Claimant has reports of pain body; however, there are no corresponding clinic al findings that suppor t the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the claimant. There are no labor atory or x-ray findings listed in the file. The clinical impression is that claimant is stable. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law

Judge finds that the medical record is insu fficient to establish that claim ant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

Claimant alleges no disabling mental impairments.

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric evidence in the record indicating claimant s uffers severe mental limitations . There is no ment al residual functional capacity assessment in the record. There is in sufficient evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it w ould prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was or iented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant was able to answer all of the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The evidentiar y record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impair ment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon hi s ability to perform his past relevant work. There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which he has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequentia evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more t han 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objecti ve medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Claimant has failed to pr ovide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that he has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which prevent him from performing any level of work for a period of 12 mont hs. The claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

ychiatric evidence contained in the file of There is insufficient objective medical/ps depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was able to answer all the guestions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant's c omplaints of pain, while pr ofound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establis h that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Clai mant is dis qualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a pers on who is c losely approaching advanced age with a high school education and an unskilled work histor v who is limited too light work is not considered disabled.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

		<u>/s/</u>
Landis		Y. Lain
		Administrative Law Judge
		for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
		Department of Human Services
Date Signed:	March 1, 2011	
Date Mailed:	March 2, 2011	

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

2011-2885/LYL

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

