STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-28747

Issue No.: 5025

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 14, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on June 14, 2011. Claimant appeared and te stified. Paris Howard, ES, appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department).

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's State Emergency Relief request for property taxes because total taxes owed exceeded \$2,000.00?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's request for St ate Emergency Relief for taxes in the amoun t of \$5,404.42 was denied by t he Department because the total tax owed exceeded \$2,000.00.
- 2. Claimant requested a hearing contesting the denial on April 4, 2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by final administrative rules filed with the Secret ary of State on October 28, 1993. MAC R 400.7001-400-7049. Department of Human Services (Department or DHS) policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

22011-28747/SB

ERM 304, p. 4 instructs that a condition of eligibility is that, "the total amount of tax arrearage for all years does not exceed \$2,000.00."

In the present case, the total amount of tax arrearage for all years is \$5,404.42 , exceeding the \$2,000.00 limit. Ther efore, Claimant's request for tax relief was properly denied by the Department.

Claimant stated that she would be able to work out an agreement if she were to receive only \$2,000.00 from the Department. However, Department Policy requires that the tax arrearage for all years be considered. ERM 304.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department was correct in its decision to deny Claimant's SER application for taxes, and therefore it is ORDERED that the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: 6/17/11

Date Mailed: 6/17/11

32011-28747/SB

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

