STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: Issue No.: 2011-28677

1000

Case No.:

May 5, 2011

Hearing Date: DHS County:

Wayne (82-76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400.37 and Claimant request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 5, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified at the hearing.

and

appeared and testified on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS).

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether Claimant is eligible for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits, including participation in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

- 1. In March 2011, Claimant applied to DHS for FIP benefits.
- 2. On March 28, 2011, DHS denied Claimant's application.
- On April 6, 2011, Claimant filed a Request for a Hearing with DHS.
- 4. At the Administrative Hearing held on May 5, 2011, DHS agreed to reinstate and reprocess Claimant's application, including providing her with another opportunity to participate in the JET program.

5. As a result of DHS' offer, Claimant testified she no longer wished to proceed with the Administrative Hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FIP was established by the U.S. Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 United States Code 601 *et seq.* DHS administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Michigan Administrative Code Rules (MACR) 400.3101-400.3131. DHS' policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables (RFT). These manuals are available online at www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals.

Under BAM Item 600, clients have the right to contest any DHS decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. DHS provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. DHS policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when DHS receives a hearing request and continue through the day of the hearing.

In this case, the parties stipulated to a settlement agreement whereby DHS will reopen and reprocess Claimant's FIP application, including re-enrollment in the JET program. I find that the agreement of the parties is consistent with DHS policy and procedure and provides an appropriate solution in this case. As the parties have reached an agreement, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to decide the issues presented in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the settlement agreement of the parties, states IT IS ORDERED that DHS shall reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FIP application including Claimant's re-enrollment in the JET program. DHS shall take all steps in accordance with DHS policies and procedures.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 9, 2011

Date Mailed: May 10, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

