#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 27, 2011. The claimant appeared and testified.

FIM, appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department or DHS.)

# **ISSUE**

Was the D epartment correct in its calculation of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP in a household of two.
- 2. Claimant was a student.
- 3. Claimant has a child years of age.
- 4. The Department decreased Claimant's group's F AP benefits, effective April 1, 2011, due to Claimant being an ineligible student.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Per BEM 245, p. 3-4, a person in student status may be eligible for FAP benefits if the student is employed for at least twenty hours per week. However, if the person provides

more than half of the physical care of a group member age six through eleven and the local office has determined adequate child care is not available to:

- •• Enable the person to attend class and work at least 20 hours per week.
- •• Participate in a state or federally-financed work study program during the regular school year,

Or is

A single parent enrolled full-time in an institution of higher education who cares for a dependent under age 12 . . .

then the student is exempt from working.

In the present case Claimant provided more that half of the physical care of her daughter, but she did not attend school full time. However, the Department did not present evidence that the local office had determined that adequate child car e was available to enable Claimant to attend class and work or participate in a work-study program per BEM 245. Without this in formation, I cannot determine whether the Department was correct in its decision to decrease Claimant's group's FAP benefits due to Claimant being an ineligible student. Ther efore, it is determined that the Department was incorrect in its decision to decrease Claimant's group's FAP benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was incorre of its decision to decrease Claimant's group's FAP benefits, and it is therefore ORDERED that its decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that to the Department shall re-determing the Claimant's eligibility, effective April 1, 2011, and ongoing, taking into account whether adequate child coare was available for Claimant. It is further that if Claim and meets eligibility requirements, any missed or increased pay ments shall be made in the form of a supplement.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: 6/30/11

Date Mailed: 6/30/11

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm
cc: