#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.:

4000

Case No.: Hearing Date:

May 9, 2011

201127925

Macomb County DHS- 20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:



## **DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge upon pursuant to MCL 400. 9; MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 9, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's SDA application?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for SDA benefits in January 13, 2011.
- (2) Claimant's application was denied in March 2011.
- (3) Claimant requested a hearing on March 28, 20011 contesting the denial of his SDA application.
- (4) The parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to reinstate and reprocess Claimant's SDA application back to the date of application.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 1939 PA 280, as amended. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.1 et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed to reinstate and reprocess Claimant's MA application going back to the date of application. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore it is ORDERED that the Department reinstate and reprocess Claimant's SDA application back to date of application.

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>5/20/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>5/20/11</u>

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### AM/sm

CC:

