#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Issue

Reg. No.: 201127291

No.: 3000 Case No.:

Load No.: Hearing Date: April 28, 2011

DHS County: Wayne County DHS (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 28, 2011. The Claimant was present and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by and

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the D epartment correct in its calculation of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP.
- 2. The Department closed Claimant's case due to excess income.
- 3. The Department reinstated Claimant's case and determined FAP benefits.
- Claimant requested a hearing on her FAP case.
- 5. At the hearing, the D epartment agreed to recalculat e Claimant's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011, using current information.
- 6. As a result of the agr eement, Claimant indicated that she no lon ger wished to proceed with the hearing.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is est ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in BAM, BEM and PRM.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Department provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarif y and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case the Department has agreed to recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011, using current information. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative La w Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law decides that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED that the Department recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2011, using current information. It is further ORDERED that any missed or increased payments will be issued in the form of a supplement.

/s/	
'	Susan C. Burke
	Administrative Law Judge
	For Maura Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 13, 2011

Date Mailed: May 13, 2011

## 201127291/SCB

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### SCB/ctl

