STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 201127272

Issue

Case No.: Load No.: May 2, 2011 Hearing Date:

No.:

Macomb County DHS (20) DHS County:

1005

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on May 2, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department or DHS) was represented by

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in closing Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP)) case for failing to cooperate with the Department?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP recipient.
- 2. On January 13, 2011, the Department issued to Claimant a Redetermination notice of appointment for February 1, 2011.
- 3. Claimant did not attend the appointment on February 1, 2011.
- 4. Claimant was also in contact with JET workers during January and February of 2011.
- 5 Claimant attempted to contact Claimant's Department worker regarding her case.

- 6. The Department closed Claimant's FIP case, effective April 1, 2011, due to failure to verify necessary information.
- 7. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use docum ents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present case, Claimant testified credibly that although she did receive the notice of appointment for redetermi nation, Claimant did not a ttend the appointment because she had recently been in cont act with JET workers, and mis understood that since she had submitted all information to JET workers, that information would be forwarded to the Department. Claimant further testified that she attempt ed to contact her Department case worker by phone, but no return calls we re made to Claimant. The Department did not dispute this testimony. Based on the abov e discussion, I find that Claim ant did not fail to cooperate with the Department, and the Department was therefore not correct in closing Claimant's case due to failure to cooperate with the Department.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, finds that the Department's decision to close Claimant's File case for failing to cooperate was incorrect and, therefore, it is ORDERED that the Department's decision

2011-27272/SCB

is REV ERSED. It is further ORDE RED that Claimant's FIP case shall be reinstated effective April 1, 2011, if Claimant otherwise qualifies, and all missed benefits shall be made in the form of supplemental payments.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 11, 2011

Date Mailed: May 11, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SCB/ctl

