# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2011-26707

<u>Issue</u> No.: <u>2000</u>

Case No.: Hearing Date: May 12, 2011

DHS County: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; M SA 16.437 upon the Claimant's r equest for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on M ay 12, 2011. The Claimant was represented by her Authorized Representative

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS or De partment) properly process the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 8, 2011, the Claimant applied for MA and retroactive MA.
- 2. On July 1, 2010, the Department denied the Claimant's applications.
- 3. On February 6, 2011, the Claimant's AR filed a request for a hearing.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

### **AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES**

# **All Programs**

An **authorized representative** (AR) is a person who a pplies for assistance on behalf of t he client and/ or otherwise acts on his behalf (BAM 110, p.7).

Here, the Department denied the Claimant's applications for excess income but failed to notify the Claimant's AR.

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2). In the instant case, the parties reached an accord. The Department agreed to re-process t he Claimant's MA applications for MA and retroactive MA back to June 8, 2010.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department and Claimant have come to an agreement and ORDERS the Department to re-process the Claimant's MA application for MA and ret roactive MA back to June 8, 2010.

Michael Administrative

for Department

J. Bennane Law Judge

Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: June 22, 2011

Date Mailed: June 23, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/cl

