STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2011-2626 Issue No: 2021 Case No: Hearing Date February 17, 2011 St. Joseph County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on February 17, 2011. Claimant deceased on Claimant's wife brought this case forward.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Hu man Servic es (the departm ent) properly conduct an appropriate initial ass et asse ssment and determine that claim ant was not eligible for long-term care Medical Assistance (MA) based upon excess assets?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On March 23, 2009, claimant's wif e applied for long- term care Medical Assistance for her husband.
- (2) Verifications were needed and supplied.
- (3) An initial asset assessment was done on May 24, 2010, a year after the application. The initial asset date used was November 1, 2007.
- (4) No one from the department was avai lable to testify from person al knowledge as to why the initial a sset date was November 1, 2007, nor

could anyone testify as to why it took over a year to make an initial asse t assessment.

- (5) On May 24, 2010, the department case worker sent claimant notice that the application was denied for excess assets.
- (6) On July 28, 2010, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the instant case, the department is required to make an initial asset assessment. Because no one from the department was available to testify as to why they used the initial assessment date as November 1, 2007, and as to why the init ial ass et assessment was not made until May 24, 2010, the department caseworker conceded on the record that the case appears to have been inappropriately assessed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, finds that the depart tment has not established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the r ecord that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it made an initial asset assessment of claimant's assets and determined that claimant had excess assets for purposes of long-term care Medical Assistance benefit eligibility.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REV ERSED. The department is ORDERE D to reinstate claimant's March 23, 2009, M edical As sistance applic ation f or long-term care and make an appropriate initial a sset assessment based upon the information provided by claimant. After the department has done that, the department shall notify claimant of her eligibility or lack there of in writing. Once t he department has done the initial asset assessment, the department shall det ermine if all other non-medic al eligibility criteria are met and no tify the claimant in writing of her eligibility or lack there of.

Landis

<u>/s/</u>

Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 23,2011

Date Mailed: February 24, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

